


## Q1 Week 1 module 1: Epidemiological methods I

Answered: 40 Skipped: 0



	VERY GOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	REQUIRES IMPROVEMENT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Presentation	47.50% 19	37.50% 15	10.00% 4	5.00% 2	40	1.73
Time management	80.00% 32	15.00% 6	2.50% 1	2.50% 1	40	1.27
Documentation	67.50% 27	30.00% 12	0.00% 0	2.50% 1	40	1.38
Content	62.50% 25	30.00% 12	7.50% 3	0.00% 0	40	1.45
Topic's relevance	80.00% 32	12.50% 5	7.50% 3	0.00% 0	40	1.27


## Q2 Week 1 module 1: Epidemiological methods I - Comments

Answered: 12 Skipped: 28

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	The content and documentation were very good, but some of the lessons were very fast.	6/25/2021 12:41 PM
2	some lectures were presented very quickly and a bit of a slower pace would have been good to not "panic" due to getting a bit lost. In the first week, many presenters said that "we assumed that...", it would have been good if those assumptions would have been shared with us before the course to make sure they were met. I'm referring to things like "we assume you have heard of this already". Some of the terms/concepts were new to me and the pace of the lecture a bit too fast to grasp is right away to the successfully complete the practicals. But Many things became clearer in week two (sorry I am late filling in this questionnaire), which is likely also a normal process in learning, that repetition helps the learning process. Overall, really good presentation of important foundations in epidemiology and statistics that I did not have this clearly presented to me before. The interplay between statistics and epi lectures was very good. Things I heard many times before but never really understood finally became clear! Thank you for this.	6/24/2021 3:47 PM
3	Time management was fine in the beginning of the week, but later on presentations and questions tended to eat up much of the breaks.	6/21/2021 8:57 AM
4	The week was good and intense especially with DAG	6/20/2021 6:30 PM
5	All went well.	6/20/2021 12:52 PM
6	Measures of occurrence of disease - too theoretical, not enough time spent on explaining key concepts Exposure and outcome measurements - good overview but not enough time for q&a (this is a general comment for most morning sessions in the first 3 days) Toward the end of the week, the instructors tried to provide more clarification. It would be best to provide a review of concepts and not assume that everyone is familiar with them.	6/20/2021 12:25 PM
7	In some lectures, concepts were presented quite quickly and at times, explanations were not that clear. The dynamic in some cases didn't invite to ask questions.	6/20/2021 12:13 PM
8	Speed of teaching was too fast. Ans its not even about the assumption that they are basic concepts but given that its virtual and we can't really interrupt except for the chat (which also is difficult because writing or reading the chat means not listening). I know its tight but a slightly lower speed would have made it less intense	6/19/2021 9:11 AM
9	Maybe a bit basic. But nice with a brush up.	6/18/2021 3:43 PM
10	Really great!!	6/18/2021 9:30 AM
11	I really like the way prof. Lorenz teaches	6/17/2021 7:03 PM
12	In general, the content is very good and well explained. Of course, there is a lot of material to "grasp" in short period of times, I think it could be great to receive more "resources" and materials teachers think relevant for us to read.	6/17/2021 7:01 PM

## Q3 Week 1 module 1: Epidemiological methods I: afternoon exercises

Answered: 38 Skipped: 2



	VERY GOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	REQUIRES IMPROVEMENT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Presentation	63.16% 24	31.58% 12	5.26% 2	0.00% 0	38	1.42
Time management	68.42% 26	21.05% 8	7.89% 3	2.63% 1	38	1.45
Documentation	65.79% 25	26.32% 10	5.26% 2	2.63% 1	38	1.45
Content	60.53% 23	23.68% 9	15.79% 6	0.00% 0	38	1.55
Topic's relevance	73.68% 28	15.79% 6	10.53% 4	0.00% 0	38	1.37


## Q4 Week 1 module 1: Epidemiological methods I: afternoon exercises - Comments

Answered: 8    Skipped: 32

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	It was super to have the Stata do files already to not loose time on that. Also, it helped learn and see new commands. The way the practicals were discussed at the end was very good and clear.	6/24/2021 3:47 PM
2	I'm not really sure what the epidemiological afternoon exercises are...	6/21/2021 8:57 AM
3	Okay	6/20/2021 6:30 PM
4	Overall, it was okay to me.	6/20/2021 12:52 PM
5	It would be more practical to set up the exercises in Excel or any other software to allow for calculations instead of having to count manually. It would allow familiarising with real-life scenarios & data processes.	6/20/2021 12:13 PM
6	Again a bit basic. DAG's was the only new topic to me. Breaks are important to maintain concentration. During day 3-5, lectures continuously 'swallowed' half of our breaks.	6/18/2021 3:43 PM
7	It was fine	6/17/2021 7:03 PM
8	Very useful, but personally I find it challenging because i don't have time to read the practical calmly and feel the rush to find the solutions with the group. In other words, not enough time to think alone.	6/17/2021 7:01 PM

## Q5 Week 1 module 2: Statistical methods in epidemiology I

Answered: 38 Skipped: 2



	VERY GOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	REQUIRES IMPROVEMENT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Presentation	68.42% 26	28.95% 11	2.63% 1	0.00% 0	38	1.34
Time management	73.68% 28	21.05% 8	5.26% 2	0.00% 0	38	1.32
Documentation	71.05% 27	28.95% 11	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	38	1.29
Content	65.79% 25	28.95% 11	5.26% 2	0.00% 0	38	1.39
Topic's relevance	73.68% 28	21.05% 8	5.26% 2	0.00% 0	38	1.32


## Q6 Week 1 module 2: Statistical methods in epidemiology I - Comments

Answered: 12 Skipped: 28

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	overall, one documentation of the first two weeks would have been great with an overall table of content to find information very quickly and have everything in one document with all notes in one place.	6/24/2021 3:47 PM
2	Maybe the presentation of the likelihood function was too complicated compared with the other contents in this week, and it was a little difficult to see how to interpret it.	6/24/2021 1:49 PM
3	I really like the module with statistical methods. The only thing that was missing in my opinion, were examples of studies/articles in which presented test/methods were applied. I felt confused about the likelihood, but I hope that during week 2 these things will become more clear to me.	6/21/2021 8:37 PM
4	I'm not sure which was the module 2. Sorry.	6/21/2021 8:19 AM
5	This was difficult for me to follow, maybe after several revisions and looking at the videos I will be able to catch up	6/20/2021 6:30 PM
6	I am satisfied with course.	6/20/2021 12:52 PM
7	Bianca was extraordinary in her communication style and ability to explain difficult concepts in an organized and clear way. Both teachers were very approachable and the course was inspiring.	6/20/2021 12:13 PM
8	Same as above comment about the speed, stands for all. But here there were quite not very used calculations and so it was even harder	6/19/2021 9:11 AM
9	The likelihood topic was a bit too much for me. Both teachers are excellent in explaining the topics.	6/18/2021 5:20 PM
10	As I am neither a medical doctor, nor a therapist, clinical study design and associated statistics are very new to me. For me, personally speaking, some of the topics are a little advanced and some concepts are hard to grasp if you are new to the field. A basic introduction would have been nice (could also be for self-study based on provided materials), but maybe I am a special case here :)	6/18/2021 2:58 PM
11	I really like both professors. They are very patient	6/17/2021 7:03 PM
12	I was surprised to see how much we went into details of statistical concepts. I would like to see more examples using recent epidemiological papers, and I think this way the concepts will make more sense.	6/17/2021 7:01 PM

## Q7 Overall, the week 1 course met my expectations

Answered: 40 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Excellent	47.50%	19
Fulfilled	40.00%	16
Partly fulfilled	12.50%	5
Not fulfilled	0.00%	0
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>40</b>


## Q8 Overall, the week 1 course met my expectations - comments

Answered: 9    Skipped: 31

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	I really enjoyed the structure of the 1st week. The possibility of learning epidemiology and statistics at the same time is very useful as it puts key concepts into context.	6/25/2021 12:41 PM
2	definitely very intense, but super useful. Particularly when certain concepts got repeated in week 2, the content of week 1 became even more clear as one could see the applied side of things a bit more. Many complex issues were very clearly explained.	6/24/2021 3:47 PM
3	I feel the lecture content is rather advanced, which made me become concerned whether I was truly understanding the content or that I may fall behind. I did fairly well on the Week 1 quiz though. What I do appreciate is that all the instructors take time to respond to the students' questions.	6/21/2021 10:31 AM
4	Overall, week 1 was perhaps a bit basic but I understand that it was needed. Additionally, although I have previously followed courses in Epidemiology/Public Health, I like that DAGs were introduced very early in this course (unlike other courses I have seen).	6/21/2021 8:58 AM
5	Very good contentwise and presentationwise. Really felt that the teachers were engaged and took time to answer our questions. Liked the quiz at the end of the week! Good combination of practicals, theory and discussions throughout the week.	6/21/2021 8:25 AM
6	It is deep	6/20/2021 6:30 PM
7	Yes, the Week 1 course met my expectations.	6/20/2021 12:52 PM
8	Content was a bit basic, but the mix of subjects/practicals were great, and the same applied to the level of communication.	6/18/2021 3:43 PM
9	yes	6/17/2021 7:03 PM

## Q9 Time for questions or discussions was

Answered: 39 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Good	82.05%	32
Too long	0.00%	0
Too short	17.95%	7
TOTAL		39


## Q10 Time for questions or discussions was - comments

Answered: 12 Skipped: 28

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Time for discussions in the context of online courses was good but overall short. Might be better to have more time in in-person courses.	6/25/2021 12:41 PM
2	Sometimes there was no much time for discussion but it was good to have a teacher in the small rooms during the exercises.	6/24/2021 3:06 PM
3	A bit difficult to answer. I think that the presenters took good time to answer questions, but there wasn't time enough for it in the programme so they ended up using much of the breaks.	6/21/2021 8:57 AM
4	Sometimes hard to follow both discussions in the chat and listen to the teacher at the same time. I would have preferred if the teachers encouraged the students to ask their questions "live" (unmute themselves and speak), because this would make it easier to follow everything at the same time.	6/21/2021 8:25 AM
5	to distribute the 30 minutes question time to each session	6/20/2021 6:30 PM
6	Time for questions or discussions was fine for me.	6/20/2021 12:52 PM
7	Not sufficient. Often questions arise in the moment during lecture/exercise and it is not easy to address them at the end of the day even if time is available. There are different levels in the course and some people may not want to speak up if they have doubts.	6/20/2021 12:25 PM
8	It would be useful to allocate time during the lectures to address questions. Sometimes it did not feel that way. I think the Q&A at the end of the day, it's not the best time for that (hard to get back to the topics)	6/20/2021 12:13 PM
9	For an online format, it was good. I miss however the discussion that naturally happen in a presential event.	6/18/2021 6:54 PM
10	I miss the time of asking during the breaks for example, but this is due to the fact that the course is online and we need to stick to time. So for the online version probably good.	6/18/2021 5:20 PM
11	Great with possibility for questions in the chat - answered by someone else than the one presenting. Mainly practised in the morning sessions (epidemiology)	6/18/2021 3:43 PM
12	I find it very nice that you give enough time to discuss and go through the solutions together! It makes it very easy to ask questions and not feel too shy or like delaying the progress of the group.	6/18/2021 2:58 PM

## Q11 Material and documentation in general is

Answered: 40 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Very good	65.00%	26
Okay	35.00%	14
Unsatisfactory	0.00%	0
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>40</b>


## Q12 Material and documentation in general is

Answered: 6 Skipped: 34

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Material and documentation are good. However, it would be nice to have the material in one consolidated document as a take-away from the course.	6/25/2021 12:41 PM
2	In general it was very good, but in some cases the information in the slides was insufficient. For example the explanation of formulaes, or definitions.	6/24/2021 3:06 PM
3	It was a little unclear to me what I was expected to read before the lessons. Did you expected to have both books? It is not realistic to read all the background readings each day, so please make it more clear what should be read before the lessons.	6/24/2021 1:49 PM
4	Good, will have time to revise and lis\ten to later	6/20/2021 6:30 PM
5	Overall, the material and documentation were fine. Maybe, it should be more interesting if I have the ebook booked are available.	6/20/2021 12:52 PM
6	Very good	6/17/2021 7:03 PM

## Q13 The practical organisation of the course was

Answered: 40 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Very good	87.50%	35
Okay	12.50%	5
Unsatisfactory	0.00%	0
TOTAL		
		40


## Q14 The practical organisation of the course was - comments

Answered: 8    Skipped: 32

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Yes, but I will invert the order of some sessions. We start by learning how to open Stata, and the day after some specific and more complex commands, and the following day again simple and descriptive commands.	6/24/2021 3:06 PM
2	Although we all had preferd beeing in Florence, I think the online version is well -unctioning.	6/24/2021 1:49 PM
3	Time managment the last few days could be improved, need breaks to keep concentration throughout the day	6/21/2021 10:22 AM
4	Impressed by how good it worked even though eventhing was online. For me, I think one thing that made me able to keep up the concentration was that we had a long siesta during the day so one could take a break from the computer and do something else in between.	6/21/2021 8:25 AM
5	the correlation between epidem and biostat topics was good	6/20/2021 6:30 PM
6	The practical is well organized.	6/20/2021 12:52 PM
7	Great	6/18/2021 3:43 PM
8	Being an online course I think was really very well organized. Congrats!	6/17/2021 8:30 PM

## Q15 Your main basic training was in


Answered: 39 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Medicine	43.59%	17
Nursing	0.00%	0
Statistics	2.56%	1
Public health	25.64%	10
Biology	12.82%	5
Sociology	2.56%	1
Psychology	5.13%	2
Informatics	0.00%	0
Geography	0.00%	0
Pharmacology/Pharmacy	7.69%	3
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>39</b>

## Q16 Have you previously followed courses in


Answered: 31 Skipped: 9



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Epidemiology	77.42%	24
Medical statistics/biostatistics	22.58%	7
TOTAL		31

## Q17 You have learnt of this course through


Answered: 40 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Colleagues	82.50% 33
Via emailing advertising	0.00% 0
Posters/Professional journals	0.00% 0
Internet/EEPE website	15.00% 6
Leaflets at conferences	2.50% 1
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>40</b>

## Q18 The online experience was

Answered: 40 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Very good	27.50%	11
Good	60.00%	24
Satisfactory	12.50%	5
Requires improvement	0.00%	0
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>40</b>

## Q19 The online experience was - comments

Answered: 18 Skipped: 22

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	The online experience was good given the circumstances, but challenging when the material was prepared for longer lectures.	6/25/2021 12:41 PM
2	It was good, but I would have preferred to have in person course. I followed the course with my colleagues and this helped us to discuss and help each other.	6/24/2021 3:06 PM
3	It was good. However, I would have benefitted more from the course if it was in Florence because it is easier to discuss topics with other people face to face.	6/24/2021 1:49 PM
4	I appreciate the inclusion on the theories behind the statistical models in this course. Thank you for making the video recordings	6/21/2021 10:31 AM
5	Even though I am not keen on online courses, I think this one has been well organised. I was first surprised to see that there was a 3h break at lunch, but I think that is an excellent idea. Otherwise, staying connected online during so many hours would be quite hard	6/21/2021 8:58 AM
6	complicated to stay focused all day long	6/21/2021 7:37 AM
7	Sometimes, I have faced internet connection issues.	6/20/2021 12:52 PM
8	It is challenging to grasp all and be able to follow the rhythm at times. I appreciate that after the first couple of days, the instructors tried to allocate more time to Q&A and clarification. In general, it seems that Stats modules advance at a higher pace and they assume that certain concepts are covered and dominated in the Epi modules but that is not always the case. Perhaps it would be a good idea to compare the pace and level of detail provided between the morning and afternoon sessions if they are meant to be complementary. It was difficult to focus on lecture and follow exchanges in the chat when instructors were responding to questions. Lecture and discussion should be better structured. Group work exercises are good as they give us time to discuss. Opportunities for practical exchanges are welcome.	6/20/2021 12:25 PM
9	A bit hard to interact with other students and teachers. Maybe additional features could be used to increase engagement for example Quizzes with Webex polls to check if the class is understanding concepts; using of whiteboard... It was very hard to try to read the questions and answers at the same time that following the lectures (if the questions were not addressed verbally).	6/20/2021 12:13 PM
10	Well, I'd have preferred to come to Florence, but overall is good.	6/18/2021 6:54 PM
11	I think organizers and teachers have done their best and I applaud them. But I would definitely have preferred to have this course presential.	6/18/2021 5:20 PM
12	Great organisation - since live-course was not a possibility	6/18/2021 3:43 PM
13	As unfortunately we cannot meet in person in Florence, I would find it nice to maybe still include some kind of "social event" or virtual tour of the city to get to know the other participants a little bit outside of the professional setting	6/18/2021 2:58 PM
14	Better than expected! Well done.	6/18/2021 11:32 AM
15	N/A	6/17/2021 7:03 PM
16	The interaction and networking is very difficult.	6/17/2021 7:02 PM
17	I think the breaks are very good and help a lot to focus for the next session.	6/17/2021 7:01 PM
18	It's challenging to focus for extended periods online. But I think you are doing an excellent job with varying presenters and length of talks.	6/17/2021 6:58 PM

## Q20 Topics you would like covered in week 1

Answered: 6 Skipped: 34

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	I would like to learn more about interventional studies.	6/25/2021 12:41 PM
2	epidemiology of intervention studies	6/20/2021 6:30 PM
3	The topics offered are fine to me.	6/20/2021 12:52 PM
4	A more detailed overview of key concepts in Epi and when to apply different measures as it sets the down for the rest of the course (Risk ratio, Risk difference (attributable risk), Cumulative incidence, Prevalence, Rate ratio). Reviewing the quiz topics made me realize that we did not cover the majority of them in much detail.	6/20/2021 12:25 PM
5	One brief introductory overview of key competences and goals for the course or the week in the beginning would have helped me to really dive in from the start.	6/18/2021 2:58 PM
6	Yes	6/17/2021 7:03 PM


## Q21 Other comments

Answered: 10    Skipped: 30

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Overall I really enjoyed the 1st week of the course and the teachers. The course has a very nice structure and it constantly builds upon previous lessons.	6/25/2021 12:41 PM
2	I really enjoy the course. I believe that it would be great to meet in Florence, however I feel really satisfied with the online form. I really appreciate that all of the course materials are available and posted prior to lectures. There was a lot of new information in the week 1, especially from statistics, so it is great that I have an access to presentations and videos so I will be able to practice after the course. I would like to thank lecturers for great talks :)	6/21/2021 8:37 PM
3	Thank you to all instructors.	6/21/2021 10:31 AM
4	I have followed courses in both epidemiology and biostatistics before (I can't choose both above). Maybe I would have had difficulties with the pace of the course, if I hadn't had prior knowledge.	6/21/2021 8:57 AM
5	The very long break from 12-15 could be shortened on the online course. Since I'm at home, I'm also expected to take part in family life which is hard when the course is until 18. So maybe an hour break from 12-13 and then until 16 would be much preferred...	6/21/2021 8:19 AM
6	N/A	6/20/2021 12:52 PM
7	The approach at times seems very theoretical and less practical. It seems more geared toward those in academia, Ph.D. students with more extensive prior knowledge. Practical examples are very helpful. Comment about the structure of the survey: it would be good if we can choose multiple entries for certain questions like 15, 16, 17.	6/20/2021 12:25 PM
8	My background in epidemiology is very scarce as I come from basic biology training and switched to population studies recently. This probably made me feel a bit overwhelmed with the mathematics behind the statistics methods (I understand is the good way of teaching, and Bianca is an excellent teacher, but for me, was not the point to take the course and not sure whether I'll ever use it). To me, understanding the type of test and when to apply them (and how to run them in STATA) is somehow enough (I have the support of statistics unit for my research, so the basics to understand their work is enough). I maybe would have liked more exercises on designing studies, practical discussion on why a design could be better from another for a specific research question, etc. I imagine we'll get more into this during next weeks.	6/18/2021 6:54 PM
9	Overall really a nice experience and a fun and enthusiastic atmosphere in the "big" group and the break-out groups, among both participants and speakers.	6/18/2021 2:58 PM
10	N/A	6/17/2021 7:03 PM

## Q1 Week 2 module 1: Epidemiological methods II

Answered: 35 Skipped: 0



	VERY GOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	REQUIRES IMPROVEMENT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Presentation	80.00% 28	17.14% 6	2.86% 1	0.00% 0	35	1.23
Time management	74.29% 26	14.29% 5	11.43% 4	0.00% 0	35	1.37
Documentation	88.57% 31	8.57% 3	2.86% 1	0.00% 0	35	1.14
Content	85.29% 29	14.71% 5	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	34	1.15
Topic's relevance	88.57% 31	11.43% 4	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	35	1.11


## Q2 Week 2 module 1: Epidemiological methods II - Comments

Answered: 6 Skipped: 29

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	I encourage teachers to submit their videos in other formats in order to be able to get downloaded	6/27/2021 10:09 PM
2	This was a fantastic course!	6/25/2021 2:43 PM
3	The content was good, but the time was short for the number of slides, and sometimes the teachers went very quick over the slides or skipped many of them.	6/25/2021 12:17 PM
4	Very good lectures!	6/25/2021 8:39 AM
5	Speakers are attentive to the questions and willing answer. They are attentive in practical studying process as well as they adjust to the background of the participants!	6/24/2021 3:17 PM
6	Some of the presentations were a bit fast to follow during the class, but most of the time the slides and the recommended extra reading allowed to catch up afterwards. I enjoyed, that the morning always had an "extra"-session, like the questionnaires, or the meta-analyses. These topics were related to the topics on the regression, but still different.	6/24/2021 3:02 PM

## Q3 Week 2 module 1: Epidemiological methods II: afternoon exercises

Answered: 35 Skipped: 0



	VERY GOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	REQUIRES IMPROVEMENT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Presentation	85.71% 30	11.43% 4	2.86% 1	0.00% 0	35	1.17
Time management	79.41% 27	8.82% 3	11.76% 4	0.00% 0	34	1.32
Documentation	91.43% 32	8.57% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	35	1.09
Content	88.24% 30	11.76% 4	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	34	1.12
Topic's relevance	88.57% 31	11.43% 4	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	35	1.11


## Q4 Week 2 module 1: Epidemiological methods II: afternoon exercises - Comments

Answered: 6 Skipped: 29

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Learnt a lot in the practical sessions.	6/25/2021 2:43 PM
2	The groups were too large and difficult to have a fluent discussion.	6/25/2021 12:17 PM
3	Very good lectures!	6/25/2021 8:39 AM
4	Its really good to do the exercises in groups sharing the screen	6/24/2021 5:37 PM
5	See point 2	6/24/2021 3:17 PM
6	very good. I feel like the exercises really helped understand the taught concepts in the lectures and also taught me new Stata commands. The small groups worked very well. We were not able to finish all practicals but felt like with the solutions at the end we could always complete them afterwards ourselves without challenges.	6/24/2021 3:02 PM

## Q5 Week 2 module 2: Statistical methods in epidemiology II

Answered: 35 Skipped: 0



	VERY GOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	REQUIRES IMPROVEMENT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Presentation	80.00% 28	20.00% 7	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	35	1.20
Time management	79.41% 27	11.76% 4	8.82% 3	0.00% 0	34	1.29
Documentation	85.29% 29	14.71% 5	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	34	1.15
Content	82.86% 29	17.14% 6	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	35	1.17
Topic's relevance	88.57% 31	11.43% 4	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	35	1.11


## Q6 Week 2 module 2: Statistical methods in epidemiology II - Comments

Answered: 5 Skipped: 30

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	The teachers were very pedagogical, and explained heavy topics in an understandable way. They were engaged and inspiring and had a nice way of making us students feel comfortable in asking questions when we did not understand. Best teachers of the course so far!	6/28/2021 9:21 AM
2	The teachers were extremely good at making complicated stuff transparent and usefull.	6/25/2021 11:14 PM
3	Extremely well organised lectures!	6/25/2021 8:39 AM
4	See point 2	6/24/2021 3:17 PM
5	tough content was very well explained and questions answered in very good ways.	6/24/2021 3:02 PM

## Q7 Overall, the week 2 course met my expectations

Answered: 35 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Excellent	74.29%	26
Fulfilled	25.71%	9
Partly fulfilled	0.00%	0
Not fulfilled	0.00%	0
TOTAL		35


## Q8 Overall, the week 2 course met my expectations - comments

Answered: 7 Skipped: 28

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	The 2nd week of the course met my expectations. I enjoyed all lessons and how they build on the knowledge acquired previously.	6/25/2021 12:41 PM
2	Yes, the contents and teachers were very good in the two weeks.	6/25/2021 12:17 PM
3	The best course I have ever attended. Now I know where to start my data analysis.	6/25/2021 8:39 AM
4	See point 2	6/24/2021 3:17 PM
5	The professors are really really nice and very patient to explain every our question.	6/24/2021 3:03 PM
6	For me, a lot of the content from week 1 became more clear in week 2. Definitely feel like I should have taken this course already a few years ago but happy I got to take it now. It would have been nice to be in Florence to meet others more and establish a network. This was not so possible in the online version as we only did small introductions of ourselves but then worked on the questions. So sadly this extra benefit of presenting courses was not possible.	6/24/2021 3:02 PM
7	I think I would have like to see more examples with linear regression / conditional linear regression using mean difference instead of always having logistic regression with binary outcomes.	6/24/2021 2:54 PM

## Q9 Time for questions or discussions was

Answered: 35 Skipped: 0




## Q10 Time for questions or discussions was - comments

Answered: 10 Skipped: 25

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Too short. Our breaks was continously shortened because of long presentations leaving only short time for questions. The answering of questions through the chat though, worked well	6/29/2021 9:02 PM
2	Instructors managed questions/discussions and responded well, in particular during the DA practicals. In general I felt that only 30 mins for a lecture is too short of a time. Often it is difficult to process the material in order to think of a question in that moment.	6/25/2021 4:46 PM
3	Having a second tutor, who is not giving the presentation, for answering the questions in writing in the chat was the best way to deal with the amount of questions!	6/25/2021 2:52 PM
4	Great. Also filled in the gap of not being present physically, getting to know other students	6/25/2021 2:43 PM
5	But questions and discussion often had to happen "outside" of the programme, so breaks had to be shortened/cancelled	6/25/2021 2:20 PM
6	Time for discussion was good given the online structure. However, we did not use the Q&A sessions as we did on week 1 and might have been a good opportunity to solve extra questions.	6/25/2021 12:41 PM
7	.	6/25/2021 8:39 AM
8	Virtual format doesnt really allow proper discussions and communication	6/24/2021 6:26 PM
9	Thank you all for being very pedagogical and good at explaining difficult topics	6/24/2021 5:37 PM
10	Perfect timing	6/24/2021 3:17 PM

## Q11 Material and documentation in general is

Answered: 35 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Very good	85.71%	30
Okay	14.29%	5
Unsatisfactory	0.00%	0
TOTAL		35


## Q12 Material and documentation in general is - comments

Answered: 12 Skipped: 23

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	It would be great if some missing videos could be uploaded - it will be very helpful to have them and brush up the knowledge.	7/1/2021 10:56 AM
2	Material uploading was smoother week one, both in terms of the preuploaded material and in terms of the uploaded videos.	6/28/2021 9:21 AM
3	The questions answered in the chat are also useful.	6/27/2021 10:09 PM
4	A lot of documentation provided, in particular for the Epi modules, it would have been helpful to be given an indication of some of the more pertinent readings as it was hard to keep up with the pace.	6/25/2021 4:46 PM
5	Excellent	6/25/2021 2:43 PM
6	Presentations were very good, however I struggled a bit with the fact that we had 60pgs powerpoints and only went through 20 slides. I believe this has to do with the changes coming with the new online format, but given this issue I would suggest having a Handbook including also all the information that is not possible to discuss during presentations	6/25/2021 12:41 PM
7	It would be nice to have the materials in a single document with a table of content (instead of having many pdf/ppt, as a manual).	6/25/2021 12:17 PM
8	The documentation and additional reading is very good! However, many of the presentation slides could benefit from some improvements in terms of slide organisation. They are often super crowded with lots of text. This is not only distracting from the spoken presentation, but also hard to look at.	6/25/2021 10:57 AM
9	.	6/25/2021 8:39 AM
10	The videos are great!!	6/24/2021 5:37 PM
11	Great	6/24/2021 3:17 PM
12	Personally one joint document (say one PDF) would have been better. This way, all content would have been together and there would have been a clear table of contents that would afterwards help find material. Currently, I have everything in folders, but it sometimes takes time to find the correct set of slides as one needs to click through multiple documents.	6/24/2021 3:02 PM

## Q13 The practical organisation of the course was

Answered: 35 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Very good	94.29% 33
Okay	5.71% 2
Unsatisfactory	0.00% 0
TOTAL	35


## Q14 The practical organisation of the course was - comments

Answered: 8    Skipped: 27

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Again, lack of small breaks	6/29/2021 9:02 PM
2	Very good synergy between afternoon and morning sessions in terms of content and relevance. Great support provided during practicals while in break-out rooms.	6/25/2021 4:46 PM
3	Perfect time management, which is crucial for online courses.	6/25/2021 2:52 PM
4	The course was very well prepared, however the last minute changes in the presentations and do-files was a bit hard to follow when you download the documents in advance.	6/25/2021 12:41 PM
5	The lunch breaks are too long, it would be good to have shorter breaks and finish earlier in the afternoon.	6/25/2021 12:17 PM
6	Really good practical. Group work worked fine!	6/25/2021 8:39 AM
7	Efficient way!	6/24/2021 3:17 PM
8	I found the way all the information was shared with us very good. The course was very well organised.	6/24/2021 3:02 PM

## Q15 The online experience was

Answered: 34 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Very good	55.88%	19
Good	38.24%	13
Satisfactory	5.88%	2
Requires improvement	0.00%	0
TOTAL		34

## Q16 The online experience was - comments

Answered: 14 Skipped: 21

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	I really enjoyed the course in online form. Of course it would be great to be able to meet in Florence, but it was really well organised! Many thanks to all lecturers, it was great experience and a lot of useful knowledge. I will definitely recommend the course to my other colleagues and I hope that next year I will come to Florence for week 3 :)	7/1/2021 10:56 AM
2	Much better than expected	6/29/2021 9:02 PM
3	I was aware that we were missing some of the in person opportunities.	6/28/2021 9:15 AM
4	More positive experience in Week 2 vs. Week 1. At times felt very rushed, in particular on the last day of the course.	6/25/2021 4:46 PM
5	the quality of both sound and picture were not very good when the screens were shared. It seems that zoom requires a lot of "computer-power" or strong online connection to run smoothly. The problem was experienced both in the lectures and the group presentations.	6/25/2021 3:01 PM
6	Great!	6/25/2021 2:52 PM
7	Better than expected	6/25/2021 2:43 PM
8	The teachers of week 2 were wonderful.	6/25/2021 12:41 PM
9	The online experience was ok, but I would rather meet face-to-face.	6/25/2021 8:39 AM
10	Better than expected/feared. I think the interaction was pretty good despite the online version of the course.	6/24/2021 5:37 PM
11	Having short lectures (30-35min) and regular short breaks is excellent - otherwise, it would be hard to keep focused (especially in an online format)	6/24/2021 4:25 PM
12	It is organised in a comfortable way	6/24/2021 3:17 PM
13	Definitely better than expected	6/24/2021 3:03 PM
14	I think the practicals are useful to do in groups, but sometimes discussion among us are going in different directions. It is very useful when we have one tutor jumping in the discussion but I would suggest to have a tutor present during all the practical, so the moment he or she hears something we say that is wrong he or she can intervene quickly.	6/24/2021 2:54 PM

## Q17 Topics you would like covered in week 2

Answered: 5 Skipped: 30

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Matching strategies and dealing with missing variables.	6/25/2021 2:52 PM
2	More information on intervention studies (both epidemiological aspects and also statistical) and sample size calculations (for prevalence studies, taking into account multiple center, RCT...)	6/25/2021 12:17 PM
3	I would like to have also some basic materials on how to run the statistical analysis discussed in the course using R. Even though I understand that STATA is more friendly for beginners, the course could also provide additional materials covering how to replicate the analysis with R.	6/24/2021 4:25 PM
4	The topics of interest were covered.	6/24/2021 3:17 PM
5	some more input on power calculations, perhaps this will still come tomorrow, but a general introduction to cox and poisson regression, just to know what it is.	6/24/2021 3:02 PM


## Q18 Other comments

Answered: 10 Skipped: 25

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Week 2 was extremely well synced - I feel like the EM and SM modules matched eachother well and the week followed a clear thread.	6/28/2021 9:21 AM
2	If this course happens online again next year, perhaps some of the sessions where lectures were shortened could be supplemented with per-recorded online material	6/28/2021 9:15 AM
3	Thank you for all the effort and accompaniment. I appreciate that the instructors were approachable and tried to foster learning under difficult and new circumstances.	6/25/2021 4:46 PM
4	Amazing course, both weeks, and great teachers	6/25/2021 4:30 PM
5	Thank you so much for a wonderful course. Thoroughly enjoyed it.	6/25/2021 2:43 PM
6	In week 1 we first worked on our own (not in groups) and then we went through it in plenary for the morning statistics practical, and that worked better for me than working in groups since we work at very different paces. But the group work in the afternoons was great!	6/25/2021 2:20 PM
7	I really enjoyed week 2 and the The 'Tuesday' lecture	6/25/2021 12:41 PM
8	Good working atmosphere, very motivating!	6/25/2021 10:57 AM
9	Great course, keep up the good work!	6/24/2021 3:42 PM
10	Very much enjoy this course! Very happy I took it. Thank you very much!	6/24/2021 3:02 PM

## Q1 Week 3: parallel morning module: Advanced statistical topics (Per Kragh Andersen, Corrado Lagazio, Michela Baccini)

Answered: 23 Skipped: 32



	VERY GOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	REQUIRES IMPROVEMENT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Presentation	73.91% 17	21.74% 5	4.35% 1	0.00% 0	23	1.30
Time management	52.17% 12	39.13% 9	4.35% 1	4.35% 1	23	1.61
Documentation	65.22% 15	21.74% 5	13.04% 3	0.00% 0	23	1.48
Content	65.22% 15	30.43% 7	4.35% 1	0.00% 0	23	1.39
Topic's relevance	82.61% 19	13.04% 3	4.35% 1	0.00% 0	23	1.22


## Q2 Week 3: parallel morning module: Advanced statistical topics (Per Kragh Andersen, Corrado Lagazio, Michela Baccini) - Comments

Answered: 10 Skipped: 45

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	I like, but I think the practical part should be in groups and more examples to understand better the topics	7/2/2021 1:31 PM
2	Time management was quite good, but the practicals ended after 12.30 some of the days (minor comment and not a big problem at all)	7/2/2021 1:03 PM
3	A very nice module	7/2/2021 12:06 PM
4	At times I felt that there was a lot of course material to cover and that was at the expense of time for questions. I also missed break-out rooms during practice session - with the more difficult statistical concepts I would have appreciated to have more discussions.	7/2/2021 11:52 AM
5	A suggestion: during the practicals participants can be split in to small rooms so they can discuss the topic and its interpretation during the exercise.	7/2/2021 11:44 AM
6	These were complex topics, but I thought they were presented in a nice logical manner. The exercises were helpful.	7/2/2021 9:13 AM
7	Very good content and presentations. Easy to understand even though the topics we're advanced. I appreciated that we had lots of time on our own to work with the practical. Only downside is that the course tended to end 5-10 minutes later than promised, which could have been avoided had we started discussing the solutions of the practical a bit earlier.	7/2/2021 8:27 AM
8	I preferred the practical exercise in small groups rather than individually	7/1/2021 6:45 PM
9	A course like this would have been better in person (technicality and hands-on), people experienced different results from exercises that were tricky to resolve online. In cases like this there would have been a tutor to assist students. It was also challenging to keep up with questions and responses in the chat where there were over 60 messages, especially when they could have been helpful. There was no reference to the books students were required to buy or software for converting said books to pdf as they were in an unreadable format.	7/1/2021 6:25 PM
10	I think 20 minutes for the teachers to run through the exercises was too short time. I don't think we had enough time to have it properly explained and we went over time each time. Very good presentations in the mornings by Per, which was extremely helpful.	7/1/2021 4:59 PM

### Q3 Week 3: parallel morning module: Mendelian Randomisation, negative control analyses, family designs, crosscontext comparisons, and triangulation (Deborah Lawlor, Carolina Borges)

Answered: 9    Skipped: 46



	VERY GOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	REQUIRES IMPROVEMENT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Presentation	77.78% 7	11.11% 1	11.11% 1	0.00% 0	9	1.33
Time management	44.44% 4	44.44% 4	11.11% 1	0.00% 0	9	1.67
Documentation	88.89% 8	11.11% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	9	1.11
Content	66.67% 6	11.11% 1	22.22% 2	0.00% 0	9	1.56
Topic's relevance	77.78% 7	11.11% 1	11.11% 1	0.00% 0	9	1.33


## Q4 Week 3: parallel morning module: Mendelian Randomisation, negative control analyses, family designs, crosscontext comparisons, and triangulation (Deborah Lawlor, Carolina Borges) - Comments

Answered: 5    Skipped: 50

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	I really enjoyed the course and found it very relevant. I don't have a background in genetics and felt that the teachers were very clear and did a great job at answering the students' questions.	7/2/2021 1:44 PM
2	May be better to explain genetic terminology more in detail and more detailed explanations on two-sample MR.	7/2/2021 9:40 AM
3	Very good course, I learned a lot. We sometimes ran over but that was because we received such good, elaborate answers to our questions. The practicals were very useful, thankful we received the scripts. If the practicals will be in groups in the future (as mentioned by some on the final day, I thought it was fine the way it was) I believe more time should be scheduled. The quiz was nice to see if we understood some of the main concepts. I especially liked how the course was (to some extend) tailored to our questions and papers were added based on our questions. I will recommend this course to others! Many thanks Deborah and Carolina!	7/2/2021 9:37 AM
4	presentation and answering questions was excellent - lecturers really know their stuff and able to explain clearly without oversimplifying. As discussed in final session, break-out rooms would be worth a try in future, as they also give a chance to chat with other people on the course - sometimes felt a bit isolated.	7/1/2021 6:17 PM
5	The pace and content were really good, managed to keep our attention up, with breaks when needed. The documentation is great, the stats practical very well made and ready to be applied for our own research. Only criticism: The first day was a bit slow at breaking the ice, so the practical did not really go as planned in terms of discussion. Probably good to find some ice breakers, or separate in groups if online version again next year. If face to face, much easier to keep the discussion going.	7/1/2021 5:42 PM

## Q5 Week 3: parallel morning module: Environmental epidemiology (Jordi Sunyer, Martine Vrijheid)

Answered: 11 Skipped: 44



	VERY GOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	REQUIRES IMPROVEMENT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Presentation	63.64% 7	27.27% 3	0.00% 0	9.09% 1	11	1.55
Time management	45.45% 5	27.27% 3	9.09% 1	18.18% 2	11	2.00
Documentation	63.64% 7	18.18% 2	18.18% 2	0.00% 0	11	1.55
Content	63.64% 7	18.18% 2	18.18% 2	0.00% 0	11	1.55
Topic's relevance	72.73% 8	18.18% 2	9.09% 1	0.00% 0	11	1.36


## Q6 Week 3: parallel morning module: Environmental epidemiology (Jordi Sunyer, Martine Vrijheid) - Comments

Answered: 5 Skipped: 50

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	I would include scientific articles with a code (in R or stata). It seemed to me that the quantitative part was lacking.	7/5/2021 11:09 AM
2	With both a morning and afternoon module, it was hard to find the time to read the papers for the practicals, so maybe in the future make practicals that don't require as much preparation.	7/2/2021 12:23 PM
3	Great presentations and great overview of the topic of environmental epidemiology. Jordi and Martine were very kind.	7/1/2021 5:10 PM
4	Really enjoyed the course, good mix of presentations and practical's. Perhaps it would be good to change up the groups after a few days. I think it's important to have set break times and allow for proper 15 min breaks, the 3 minute breaks were not really long enough.	7/1/2021 4:58 PM
5	This was a wonderful course with a high real-world relevance, that will certainly accompany many of us in our professional lives. Congrats to the organisers.	7/1/2021 4:56 PM

## Q7 Week 3: parallel morning module: From epidemiology to the burden of disease (Nino Künzli, Gillian Levine, Andrea Farnham)

Answered: 9 Skipped: 46



	VERY GOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	REQUIRES IMPROVEMENT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Presentation	22.22%	77.78%	0.00%	0.00%	9	1.78
Time management	33.33%	66.67%	0.00%	0.00%	9	1.67
Documentation	22.22%	44.44%	22.22%	11.11%	9	2.22
Content	22.22%	55.56%	22.22%	0.00%	9	2.00
Topic's relevance	22.22%	66.67%	11.11%	0.00%	9	1.89


## Q8 Week 3: parallel morning module: From epidemiology to the burden of disease (Nino Künzli, Gillian Levine, Andrea Farnham) - Comments

Answered: 5 Skipped: 50

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	I found there was too much content on GBD, I'd have liked to see more content on impact assessment, public health, etc. Also, last hour could have been used to provide more content instead to ask to meet with one teacher.	7/5/2021 11:53 AM
2	video records not uploaded yet. solutions for excercises not uploaded. even if they were discussed during the lecture, having an answer key is always better as a clear reference. In week 1 & 2, solutions were uploaded. This was very helpful. Wish this was the same in week 3 also.	7/2/2021 5:30 PM
3	The topics of the lectures were very relevant and the discussions interesting. So far, this is the course were I found lecturers more prepared for online teaching, and more proficient with online tools (breakout rooms, pollev, scrubs) Nevertheless, I think it would be interesting to have 1 single exercise throughout the course were the three topics addressed can be explained, to give the course a better storyline	7/2/2021 9:20 AM
4	Good module overall and good teachers. I think GBD could be discussed more briefly, and then go deeper in the topic and cover other aspects of epidemiology and public health. I was a bit disappointed of the last one-hour lecture, à la carte, but difficult to be done through zoom, and at the end a "lost" lecture.	7/1/2021 10:11 PM
5	For me as a bigginner it was super interesting	7/1/2021 4:54 PM

## Q9 Week 3: parallel afternoon module: The evaluation of medical tests (Patrick M. Bossuyt)

Answered: 11 Skipped: 44



	VERY GOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	REQUIRES IMPROVEMENT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Presentation	81.82% 9	18.18% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	11	1.18
Time management	81.82% 9	18.18% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	11	1.18
Documentation	81.82% 9	18.18% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	11	1.18
Content	81.82% 9	18.18% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	11	1.18
Topic's relevance	81.82% 9	18.18% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	11	1.18


## Q10 Week 3: parallel afternoon module: The evaluation of medical tests (Patrick M. Bossuyt) - Comments

Answered: 5 Skipped: 50

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Really interesting series of lectures, a primer that ahs left me wanting to know and understand more around this undervalued topic.	7/5/2021 10:18 AM
2	Patrick Bossuyt is the best teachers I ever encountered and made the topic so interesting an relevant. Bada is also an excellent teacher, and had a great way of giving feedback and helping you answer the proposed questions :-) Both of them made it perhaps the best course I took in my career. :-)	7/2/2021 8:53 AM
3	Thoroughly enjoyed this module!	7/1/2021 6:48 PM
4	This was a really informative and well run course. Some of the background "scene" setting, e.g. importance of test evaluation, precision medicine etc, could maybe have been dealt with as reading material, to give more time to spend on the content. This is only a minor point. Overall the teaching was excellent.	7/1/2021 5:18 PM
5	I would like to thank Patrick for this course, which was very informative, and perfect in its pace and intensity. I also very much enjoyed the group atmosphere, the way of organizing interactions (breakout rooms and polls) and attention to questions, and hope I will get the chance to attend more of Patrick's courses in the future. THANK YOU!	7/1/2021 4:59 PM

## Q11 Week 3: parallel afternoon module: Methods to deal with unobserved information in epidemiological studies (Irene Petersen, Henrik Støvring)

Answered: 21 Skipped: 34



	VERY GOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	REQUIRES IMPROVEMENT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Presentation	38.10% 8	28.57% 6	28.57% 6	4.76% 1	21	2.00
Time management	28.57% 6	42.86% 9	23.81% 5	4.76% 1	21	2.05
Documentation	47.62% 10	38.10% 8	14.29% 3	0.00% 0	21	1.67
Content	47.62% 10	23.81% 5	23.81% 5	4.76% 1	21	1.86
Topic's relevance	57.14% 12	38.10% 8	4.76% 1	0.00% 0	21	1.48


## Q12 Week 3: parallel afternoon module: Methods to deal with unobserved information in epidemiological studies (Irene Petersen, Henrik Støvring) - Comments

Answered: 13 Skipped: 42

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	I really like, but i think more practical examples are needed especially for bias The practical were nice	7/2/2021 1:31 PM
2	Good with mix of lectures and exercises through the afternoon and not half/half.	7/2/2021 1:03 PM
3	Maybe less content and more time to get better acquainted with the topics. It was a quite fast-paced course covering many topics.	7/2/2021 12:23 PM
4	Overall, the topic of this afternoon session was very good. However, there was room for improvement. The first day we used approximately 45 minutes for introducing ourselves, which were better in other courses, in which it was only a couple of minutes in the group exercises. Exercises the first day were very hard to understand, and I the same heard from several of the participants.	7/2/2021 12:06 PM
5	Some days have a lot of pre-reading and it was difficult to read it all before the lessons. I would prefer if 1-2 papers pr. day were elected as the most relevant - so it would be easier to prepare for the day.	7/2/2021 11:44 AM
6	The topic of missing data and multiple imputation was good, the topic in the beginning of the week with the spreadsheet were a bit confusing and I never got to understand when to use it...	7/2/2021 10:46 AM
7	Topics were extremely interesting, useful. However, they were a bit hard to follow, and sessions were quite long for a 3rd week of online courses. I believe this course should have some specific time dedicated to solve individual questions, as most of us are dealing with handling missing data and found very useful the conversations with the teachers.	7/2/2021 9:20 AM
8	Again some complex topics, but well presented and some excellent resources.	7/2/2021 9:13 AM
9	Enjoyed the fact that we had several chances to discuss in small groups about the topics presented, not only during the practical. Also appreciated that the groups changed during the week. The first two days had exercised that our group found a bit too hard, that could maybe have been facilitated by giving us the commands/codes to use. Otherwise, great job!	7/2/2021 8:27 AM
10	Overall the content of this module was very useful.	7/1/2021 5:10 PM
11	Really enjoyed the course, good mix of presentations and exercises. I think some of the exercises could have used a bit more explanation before being put into breakout room (particularly the first few days) as it felt a bit rushed. I think set break times are needed as the exercises often took longer than the allotted time so there wasn't time to break.	7/1/2021 4:58 PM
12	I liked the module, but I find it a pity that many of the exercises were not explained in details, probably due to time issues. Sometimes the presentations could have been a bit more motivating.	7/1/2021 4:56 PM
13	There are more advanced methods regarding on bias analyses, hope they will be covered.	7/1/2021 4:51 PM

## Q13 Week 3: parallel afternoon module: Principles of prevention in the precision medicine Big Data and Covid-19 time (Rodolfo Saracci)

Answered: 9 Skipped: 46



	VERY GOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	REQUIRES IMPROVEMENT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Presentation	77.78% 7	22.22% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	9	1.22
Time management	44.44% 4	44.44% 4	11.11% 1	0.00% 0	9	1.67
Documentation	77.78% 7	22.22% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	9	1.22
Content	88.89% 8	11.11% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	9	1.11
Topic's relevance	77.78% 7	22.22% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	9	1.22


## Q14 Week 3: parallel afternoon module: Principles of prevention in the precision medicine Big Data and Covid-19 time (Rodolfo Saracci) - Comments

Answered: 4 Skipped: 51

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Though the content was great, the whole approach was not so suitable for virtual course. Suggestions: Shorter knowledge pills with breaf braks and some groupal dynamics. Very happy to have attended it anyways.	7/2/2021 9:39 AM
2	Very interesting course, I liked how he made us think about certain topics and the discussions that followed. There could be more of this I think, but I appreciate this is more difficult to do on zoom. Perhaps breakout rooms to stimulate discussions could be an idea for next year (if the course still cannot be face to face). Overall thoroughly enjoyed the afternoon sessions	7/2/2021 9:37 AM
3	I especially liked when we had some group discussions.	7/1/2021 6:20 PM
4	It was great. I have understood many things.	7/1/2021 4:54 PM

## Q15 Week 3: parallel afternoon module: Infectious disease epidemiology (Tyra Grove Krause, Steen Ethelberg)

Answered: 9 Skipped: 46



	VERY GOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	REQUIRES IMPROVEMENT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Presentation	44.44% 4	55.56% 5	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	9	1.56
Time management	33.33% 3	44.44% 4	22.22% 2	0.00% 0	9	1.89
Documentation	33.33% 3	55.56% 5	0.00% 0	11.11% 1	9	1.89
Content	33.33% 3	44.44% 4	11.11% 1	11.11% 1	9	2.00
Topic's relevance	55.56% 5	44.44% 4	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	9	1.44

## Q16 Week 3: parallel afternoon module: Infectious disease epidemiology (Tyra Grove Krause, Steen Ethelberg) - Comments

Answered: 6 Skipped: 49

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	solutions for excercises not uploaded. even if they were discussed during the lecture, having an answer key is always better as a clear reference. In week 1 & 2, solutions were uploaded. This was very helpful. Wish this was the same in week 3 also.	7/2/2021 5:30 PM
2	I really enjoyed the case studies. The lecture information was very interesting, though the first 2 days were more introductory, and I would have enjoyed more focus on epi studies related to infectious disease surveillance. I liked the organization of the course and the combination of lecture and case studies and all the real-world examples included. Thank you for an interesting course!	7/2/2021 10:22 AM
3	Would be nice to have the slides on beforehand to take notes directly on the. Possibili get the data for the third case study in excel format.	7/2/2021 9:09 AM
4	Facilitators were very apologetic for the technical challenges of online learning. They were also innovative in terms of teaching strategy, mainly ensuring that trainees would participate instead of doing something else in their PCs. Would appreciate a copy of the zoom recordings.	7/2/2021 4:43 AM
5	Both teachers were very dedicated. The technical issues were not a problem - at all. To me, days 2 and 3 were very slow. I would have expected to go a bit faster, more dynamic, with more content.	7/1/2021 10:11 PM
6	Too many technical problems, lecturers admitted to not being well equipped to give online lectures - maybe in future they could have had some training to allow for better teaching experience. Audio quality of one of the lecturers was moderate because they had soft voice and it was challenging following their teaching when one could hardly hear them even with headsets. Group work being done together was great and it encouraged better interaction as opposed to having break out rooms where students hardly participate.	7/1/2021 6:25 PM

## Q17 Would you organize the week 3 modules in a different way?

Answered: 38 Skipped: 17



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	31.58%	12
No	68.42%	26
TOTAL		38


## Q18 Would you organize the week 3 modules in a different way? - Comments

Answered: 17 Skipped: 38

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	More quantitative informations.	7/5/2021 11:09 AM
2	As they are parallel sessions, it is not possible to attend two sessions in the morning or two in the afternoon at the same time. If the next course is done in virtual mode, I would give the option that some sessions could be followed on demand. Likewise, I would not schedule sessions in the morning and in the afternoon, since this situation is very difficult to reconcile for people who are dedicated to clinical assistance.	7/4/2021 10:07 AM
3	I would give more lunchtime between morning and afternoon modules	7/3/2021 8:11 PM
4	I think was really good	7/2/2021 1:31 PM
5	I enjoyed the organization of the course in which i participated, and particularly enjoyed the combination of lectures and case studies.	7/2/2021 10:22 AM
6	I think it would be nice to go into breakout groups (either face to face, if possible in the future, or on zoom) to discuss amongst ourselves more.	7/2/2021 9:37 AM
7	3 weeks of online courses were too much for me, and my retention decreased. I believe the courses of the 3rd week require more time and more exercise activities.	7/2/2021 9:20 AM
8	Just remember every 90 Min or so (especially for zoom lectures)	7/2/2021 9:09 AM
9	Was very challenging for our time zone although I guess that is something difficult to adjust. Can have pre-recorded lectures which can be studied anytime, followed by a synchronous meeting for the discussions.	7/2/2021 4:43 AM
10	No	7/1/2021 6:48 PM
11	I only attended one course, so morning only gave a good balance between the course and other work commitments - obviously this is only relevant for online version!	7/1/2021 6:17 PM
12	Courses should have the same schedule, and the lunch break should be as long as the two past weeks.	7/1/2021 5:10 PM
13	Perhaps run for an extra half hour, but have proper set break times. It seemed as though many of the lectures over ran, so breaks were cut short or skipped.	7/1/2021 4:58 PM
14	I liked the idea of having a stable group for the entire week. In my morning module this made group work more fun and also more efficient.	7/1/2021 4:56 PM
15	I would give att some ponit some "data" that students would write a short discription max 200 words. In order to follow their understanding	7/1/2021 4:54 PM
16	It will be much better to be organized in person.	7/1/2021 4:51 PM
17	later start, with shorter break in between	7/1/2021 4:46 PM

## Q19 Overall, the 3-week course met my expectations

Answered: 47 Skipped: 8



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Excellent	36.17%
Fulfilled	44.68%
Partly fulfilled	19.15%
Not fulfilled	0.00%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>47</b>


## Q20 Overall, the 3-week course met my expectations - Comments

Answered: 12    Skipped: 43

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	From epidemiology to the burden of disease did not meet my expectations. I thought it'd include more sessions on public health and epidemiology, half of it seemed a GBD course, which is nice to know, but might not be a very useful platform for people working in LIC where data is not available. More on how to generate data and use it for PH would have been nice.	7/5/2021 11:53 AM
2	The morning module was perfect, however, i was a bit disappointed with the afternoon session (Methods to deal with unobserved information in epidemiological studies)	7/2/2021 12:06 PM
3	I personally enjoyed all the topics learned, but I expected more advance practical tools in this 3rd week.	7/2/2021 9:20 AM
4	Aside from not being in Florence, this was a good week.	7/2/2021 9:13 AM
5	I was expecting more practical exercises	7/2/2021 8:27 AM
6	Coming from a molecular background, I learned new things on epidemiology.	7/2/2021 4:43 AM
7	Yes	7/1/2021 6:48 PM
8	I was expecting the causal analysis section to cover some mediation analysis	7/1/2021 5:48 PM
9	Overall yes; I feel that we received a lot of materials (powerpoints, readings) in a disorganized way. It would have been nice to have a sort of booklet of the course prepared by the professors. Concepts are easy to understand separately but when gathering all together it was sometimes conflicting (e.g. 2nd week we are told xy command on state, third week we are suggested another, not clear now which one has to be use, in which context).	7/1/2021 5:10 PM
10	I was very disappointed by the afternoon session.	7/1/2021 4:59 PM
11	Really interesting courses, would have rather been in Florence! but overall well run.	7/1/2021 4:58 PM
12	It was super great.	7/1/2021 4:54 PM

## Q21 Time for questions or discussions was

Answered: 48 Skipped: 7



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Good	87.50%	42
Too long	4.17%	2
Too short	8.33%	4
TOTAL		48


## Q22 Time for questions or discussions was - Comments

Answered: 3 Skipped: 52

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Very nice discussions and group work in afternoon module (Henrik and Irene). No discussions in the morning - it could have been nice, but it also worked very well without discussions and group work.	7/2/2021 1:03 PM
2	Interesting discussion arose during group work.	7/2/2021 9:20 AM
3	I liked that this was flexible so that people could ask about their own specific projects.	7/2/2021 9:13 AM

## Q23 Material and documentation in general is

Answered: 47 Skipped: 8



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Very good	65.96%	31
Okay	31.91%	15
Unsatisfactory	2.13%	1
TOTAL		47


## Q24 Material and documentation in general is - Comments

Answered: 6 Skipped: 49

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	solutions for excercises not uploaded. even if they were discussed during the lecture, having an answer key is always better as a clear reference. In week 1 & 2, solutions were uploaded. This was very helpful. Wish this was the same in week 3 also.	7/2/2021 5:30 PM
2	It was nice to have time in groups in break out rooms to work with multiple imputations. We learned a lot by doing it like that.	7/2/2021 10:46 AM
3	The material is excellenta, but can be compiled in a handbook	7/2/2021 9:20 AM
4	Good resources. I find it really useful to have the practical details and online resources for future use.	7/2/2021 9:13 AM
5	Would appreciate a copy of the Zoom recordings. I was absent in one discussion due to feeling unwell.	7/2/2021 4:43 AM
6	I think overall the powerpoints could have been better (more extra slides, improve the visual aspect of the slides, outline of the presentation)	7/1/2021 5:10 PM

## Q25 The practical organisation of the course and venue was

Answered: 46 Skipped: 9



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Very good	67.39%
Okay	32.61%
Unsatisfactory	0.00%
TOTAL	46


## Q26 The practical organisation of the course and venue was - Comments

Answered: 10 Skipped: 45

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	From epidemiology to the burden of disease had several problems with the online platform and recording of sessions.	7/5/2021 11:53 AM
2	For the afternoon session "Methods to deal with unobserved information in epidemiological studies", there was some problems with the exercises, which had a lot of faults.	7/2/2021 12:06 PM
3	Given the online nature of the course, I think the organisers and course teachers really did a good job in keeping the course interesting and relevant.	7/2/2021 11:52 AM
4	Great job being flexible with the online format! I think people are more hesitant to speak up in a virtual setting, but the professors did a great job encouraging questions and discussion.	7/2/2021 10:22 AM
5	Good communication throughout.	7/2/2021 9:13 AM
6	We got information on Monday that the afternoon course was rescheduled from 3 pm to 2 pm. The new time was actually better for me but I wished that the information had been given earlier. Now I had to reschedule a couple of things during this week that I had already booked in.	7/2/2021 8:27 AM
7	Online is not an easy format to teach, I think the professors did an amazing job. I think it would have been better to use one single platform for all (e.g. zoom).	7/1/2021 5:10 PM
8	Very good considering the online platform.	7/1/2021 4:59 PM
9	The use of the online campus was very clear. Perhaps stick to one platform as we had one on blackboard and one on zoom. Zoom seemed to run a bit more smoothly.	7/1/2021 4:58 PM
10	It would be great if for each topic you would provide a basic reading. E.g. some basic definition and difference between theme.	7/1/2021 4:54 PM

## Q27 Your main basic training was in


Answered: 44 Skipped: 11



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Medicine	34.09%	15
Nursing	0.00%	0
Statistics	11.36%	5
Public Health	29.55%	13
Biology	13.64%	6
Sociology	0.00%	0
Psychology	2.27%	1
Informatics	0.00%	0
Geography	0.00%	0
Pharmacology / Pharmacy	9.09%	4
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>44</b>

## Q28 Have you previously followed courses in


Answered: 42 Skipped: 13



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Epidemiology	69.05%
Medical statistics/biostatistics	30.95%
TOTAL	42

## Q29 You have learnt of this course through

Answered: 51 Skipped: 4



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Colleagues	82.35%	42
Via emailing advertising	9.80%	5
Posters / Professional journals	0.00%	0
Internet / EEPE Website	19.61%	10
Leaflets at conferences	1.96%	1
Total Respondents: 51		

## Q30 Modules you would like to have in week 3

Answered: 11 Skipped: 44

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	climate change and epidemiology	7/5/2021 11:09 AM
2	R software management	7/4/2021 10:07 AM
3	Introduction to Rstudio, critical appraisal	7/2/2021 1:31 PM
4	Data visualization in epidemiology	7/2/2021 9:20 AM
5	SEM-analysis. Dynamic Panel models.	7/2/2021 8:27 AM
6	Topics on exposure-response analysis used in environmental epidemiology. There are many studies on environmental exposure (air pollution, exposome) in Europe but rarely any course on modeling/analysis in most institutes.	7/1/2021 6:25 PM
7	Something about data visualisation using Stata or R.	7/1/2021 5:10 PM
8	Time-dependent variables in Cox regression	7/1/2021 4:59 PM
9	Global Health	7/1/2021 4:56 PM
10	Pharmacoepidemiology	7/1/2021 4:54 PM
11	Mediation analysis	7/1/2021 4:48 PM

## Q31 Other comments

Answered: 8    Skipped: 47

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	I would have liked to attend the 3 weeks, but since it was virtual, we don't have neither time off from clinical work nor permission for such a long duration. In addition, I find that maybe the cost of the course has not been adapted to the virtual format. Perhaps the cost of the course is a bit prohibitive, considering that it is a 3-week course and not a Master's degree. In any case, the scientific quality has been excellent. Thank you.	7/4/2021 10:07 AM
2	For 28: Both Epidemiologi and biostatistics but I couldn't choose both.	7/2/2021 1:03 PM
3	i wish i had attended the advanced epi course as well :)	7/2/2021 10:22 AM
4	thank you to all the teachers over the three weeks for keeping enthusiasm levels up despite the learning environment.	7/2/2021 9:13 AM
5	I'm only sorry I had to pick between all the interesting courses week 3. But that gives me the opportunity to take week 3 again choosing a different topic and maybe actually go to Florence :-)	7/2/2021 8:53 AM
6	I previously attended the 3 week course in Florence, and now a week 3 module online, and will definitely recommend both to colleagues. Thank you	7/1/2021 4:59 PM
7	Hopefully see you again :)	7/1/2021 4:56 PM
8	Question 28: Have you previously followed courses in: I have followed courses in both epidemiology and biostatistics, but it was not possible to select both.	7/1/2021 4:47 PM