# Q1 Week 1 module 1: Epidemiological methods I

Answered: 50   Skipped: 0

	VERY GOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	REQUIRES IMPROVEMENT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Presentation	48.00% 24	40.00% 20	8.00% 4	4.00% 2	50	1.68
Time management	54.00% 27	38.00% 19	8.00% 4	0.00% 0	50	1.54
Documentation	48.98% 24	40.82% 20	10.20% 5	0.00% 0	49	1.61
Content	46.00% 23	48.00% 24	6.00% 3	0.00% 0	50	1.60
Topic's relevance	54.00% 27	42.00% 21	4.00% 2	0.00% 0	50	1.50

Q2 Week 1 module 1: Epidemiological methods I - Comments

Answered: 13   Skipped: 37

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	It was good but too many things to do	7/15/2019 2:36 AM
2	Some presentation had too many words...	7/3/2019 10:02 PM
3	A basic presentation and also basic practicals about DAGs would have been much appreciated.	7/3/2019 7:45 AM
4	Most presentations were fine.	7/3/2019 12:15 AM
5	The problems with microphones were very disruptive and not really improved over the course.	7/2/2019 1:11 PM
6	I am not sure what you mean by documentation	6/30/2019 9:55 PM
7	I guess it's hard to know where to pitch the lectures given the varied audience. I personally came more for the stats than the Epi so found the topics interesting but not groundbreaking.	6/29/2019 8:59 PM
8	The week was excellent, with very good refresher materials, necessary even for experienced researchers.	6/29/2019 6:45 PM
9	It was good in general	6/29/2019 5:26 PM
10	Generally it is fine	6/28/2019 10:57 PM
11	Anne-Marie lectures were a little evasive	6/28/2019 9:36 PM
12	It is important to have a time for study each day.also materials should be sent at least 1 month before the begning of the course.	6/28/2019 9:08 PM
13	It's really very good	6/28/2019 7:34 PM

Q3 Week 1 module 1: Epidemiological methods I: afternoon exercises

Answered: 50   Skipped: 0

	VERY GOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	REQUIRES IMPROVEMENT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Presentation	32.00% 16	48.00% 24	18.00% 9	2.00% 1	50	1.90
Time management	36.00% 18	28.00% 14	30.00% 15	6.00% 3	50	2.06
Documentation	28.57% 14	51.02% 25	20.41% 10	0.00% 0	49	1.92
Content	24.00% 12	54.00% 27	16.00% 8	6.00% 3	50	2.04
Topic's relevance	38.00% 19	44.00% 22	16.00% 8	2.00% 1	50	1.82

# Q4 Week 1 module 1: Epidemiological methods I: afternoon exercises - Comments

Answered: 14   Skipped: 36

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	From my point of view, the practical sections were too shorter and some faculties did not explain the solution of the exercise...or we could not finish the exercise because was too long.	7/3/2019 10:02 PM
2	Fine exercises.	7/3/2019 12:15 AM
3	We never really achieved to do the whole exercise during the time, but the wrapping up together in the end of each session was very helpfull.	7/2/2019 1:11 PM
4	I think I would gain more from the exercises, if they were scheduled as a part of the morning sessions instead	7/1/2019 10:13 AM
5	It would help to be able to work also with non-binary outcomes	7/1/2019 9:08 AM
6	sometimes we needed more time to finsh all the exercises	6/30/2019 11:19 PM
7	I wonder whether a better approach would be to shuffle things slightly and have the feedback for each session the morning of the following day. This would allow those who finished quicker (or who wanted to explore Florence!) to do so whilst things were still open, potentially work on the exercise later in the evening, but still be able to attend the discussion on solutions... just a thought :)	6/29/2019 8:59 PM
8	Nice refreshment of basics	6/29/2019 8:30 PM
9	satisfied	6/29/2019 5:26 PM
10	I would preferer to do the exercises with statata instead of by hand	6/29/2019 12:39 AM
11	Excercises with dataset would be better for learning.	6/28/2019 9:36 PM
12	Some days there was not enough time to complete the exercises. Also, many exercises relied on manual calculations while they could be completed with STATA allowing for more contact owth the program.	6/28/2019 8:50 PM
13	It was good to change between lectures and exercises, however the exercises could encourage more discussion then they did. The friday discussion of the two papers were not good enough standards because we lacked a framework for discussion. Also the amount of hand calculus could be less, although I appreciate that not everything has to be done in a statistical program.	6/28/2019 7:52 PM
14	Very good	6/28/2019 7:34 PM

Q5 Week 1 module 2: Statistical methods in epidemiology I

Answered: 50   Skipped: 0

	VERY GOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	REQUIRES IMPROVEMENT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Presentation	82.00% 41	16.00% 8	2.00% 1	0.00% 0	50	1.20
Time management	68.00% 34	20.00% 10	10.00% 5	2.00% 1	50	1.46
Documentation	66.00% 33	28.00% 14	4.00% 2	2.00% 1	50	1.42
Content	78.00% 39	14.00% 7	6.00% 3	2.00% 1	50	1.32
Topic's relevance	77.55% 38	16.33% 8	6.12% 3	0.00% 0	49	1.29

Q6 Week 1 module 2: Statistical methods in epidemiology I - Comments

Answered: 17   Skipped: 33

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	This model well organised and the lectures and exercises were very clear and easy to follow	7/3/2019 10:02 PM
2	The teaching could not have been any better. Excellent!	7/3/2019 7:45 AM
3	It was fine with the brush-up and very competent teacher	7/3/2019 12:15 AM
4	Simon was an excellent teacher. Both the way in which he summarized yesterdays lesson every day and the way he kept everything as simple as possible.	7/2/2019 1:11 PM
5	We did not have enough time to do all the exercices	7/1/2019 5:54 PM
6	Simone Cousin was a great teacher!	7/1/2019 12:58 PM
7	In general, I was very happy with Simon. He spoke very clearly, had nice PP and gave us time to ask questions.	7/1/2019 10:13 AM
8	Great teacher!! I've really enjoy classes with Simon	7/1/2019 9:07 AM
9	Simon has a very nice way of teaching	6/30/2019 9:55 PM
10	Maybe making it more explicit when presenting the underlying math as to what is necessary to know and what is "extra for experts" or math to prove a statistical concept	6/29/2019 8:59 PM
11	Very nice introduction to statistical methods. Simon has a lot of patience to explain statistical methods to people who are not very good in mathematics. I think even if some times it was funny that once again we were saying at loud what p-value means, I will always remember "there is strong evidence against null hypothesis". Also Simon spoke very nicely and slowly in English, even he is native speaker. I also really enjoyed classes with Constanza - her introduction to Stata was very well planned. Great week!	6/29/2019 8:30 PM
12	Need for general review of basis statistics	6/29/2019 5:26 PM
13	Particularly liked Simon's way of lecturing. Speaking slowly & going over material carefully to ensure we all followed. Important given many participants don't have English as first language.	6/29/2019 9:50 AM
14	Even though the use of the same database through the module is useful to delve and get used to the database. I feel that bringing up different ones for some examples could be interesting and could generate easier referecnes to remember the specific exercices.	6/28/2019 8:50 PM
15	More time with practicals	6/28/2019 8:01 PM
16	Very nice presentations and a good review of previous/basic knowledge.	6/28/2019 7:52 PM
17	Very good	6/28/2019 7:34 PM

Q7 Week 2 module 1: Epidemiological methods II

Answered: 49   Skipped: 1

	VERY GOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	REQUIRES IMPROVEMENT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Presentation	55.10% 27	44.90% 22	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	49	1.45
Time management	40.82% 20	48.98% 24	10.20% 5	0.00% 0	49	1.69
Documentation	41.67% 20	52.08% 25	6.25% 3	0.00% 0	48	1.65
Content	44.90% 22	55.10% 27	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	49	1.55
Topic's relevance	53.06% 26	44.90% 22	2.04% 1	0.00% 0	49	1.49

Q8 Week 2 module 1: Epidemiological methods II - Comments

Answered: 13   Skipped: 37

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Some presentation had too many words...	7/3/2019 10:02 PM
2	Relevant topics and fine presentation overall. Pearce slides was a bit forth and back in presentation compared to how he presentated it. To make it more consistent it would be nice to keep the slides in the same order as presenting.	7/3/2019 12:15 AM
3	Case control studies took very much space. As a researcher working with RCTs, cohort and cross-sectional studies but never case-control, it was too much.	7/2/2019 1:11 PM
4	Manolis and Neil are both very nice and enthusiastic teachers	7/1/2019 10:13 AM
5	It would be nice to also cover other types of regressions, where the outcomes are not binary (such as linear, ordinal logistic or multinomial). Besides, it would be nice to cover multilevel regression (at least of the background). Finally, there were key lessons (such as the one of causality of Dr. Pearse, which was amazing) that were awesome!!!	7/1/2019 9:08 AM
6	As for week 1.	6/29/2019 8:59 PM
7	Very good. I really enjoyed the parts of presentations which were based on a real studies and mistakes that were made. The reading part was very good and was a great additional part for this week.	6/29/2019 8:30 PM
8	Good use of micorphone for speakers and audience alike. Marked improvement to week 1.	6/29/2019 7:07 PM
9	okay	6/29/2019 5:26 PM
10	All very good in time management. Liked the session on questionnaires as this is not an area normally covered	6/29/2019 9:50 AM
11	Minolis lectures were evasive	6/28/2019 9:36 PM
12	Great	6/28/2019 7:34 PM
13	It would be nice if the slides for the lecture actual were the same as used in the presentation. 60 slides and then jumping around in the slides, not even using have of the slides is didactic a bad choice	6/28/2019 8:58 AM

Q9 Week 2 module 2: Statistical methods in epidemiology II

Answered: 50   Skipped: 0

	VERY GOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	REQUIRES IMPROVEMENT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Presentation	54.00% 27	30.00% 15	16.00% 8	0.00% 0	50	1.62
Time management	34.00% 17	44.00% 22	8.00% 4	14.00% 7	50	2.02
Documentation	48.98% 24	42.86% 21	8.16% 4	0.00% 0	49	1.59
Content	48.00% 24	44.00% 22	6.00% 3	2.00% 1	50	1.62
Topic's relevance	55.10% 27	40.82% 20	2.04% 1	2.04% 1	49	1.51

Q10 Week 2 module 2: Statistical methods in epidemiology II - Comments

Answered: 22   Skipped: 28

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Excellent	7/3/2019 10:02 PM
2	As for the first week the statistical sessions were very excellent. The teaching excellent.	7/3/2019 7:45 AM
3	Very competent and skilled teacher. Very god at explaning the reasons for the different equation.	7/3/2019 12:15 AM
4	We did not have enough time to do all the exercices	7/1/2019 5:54 PM
5	Is was good, but Elizabeth tend to speak very fast and at point seems a but condescending. She asked 'are we all happy about that' but left very little time for people to ask questions	7/1/2019 10:13 AM
6	Great teacher! I just wonder why we did not cover how to check regression models (e.g., residuals, etc)	7/1/2019 9:08 AM
7	I really want to congratulate Elizabeth for her lessons!!	7/1/2019 9:07 AM
8	More survival analysis would be nice	6/30/2019 10:22 PM
9	Need improvement in time management. Need more time for practise	6/30/2019 10:08 PM
10	Very much focus on logistic regression, and much repetition throughout the week, and then just a very rushed introduction to Poisson and Cox regression.	6/30/2019 12:20 PM
11	I enjoyed Elizabeth's lectures and they built well on the base Simon provided from week 1. As with the earlier comments, it would be good to know when the underlying math was being explained as a conceptual thing and when it was actually necessary to understand the stats programming.	6/29/2019 8:59 PM
12	It was really good weak, but I think there was to many mathematics and I was sometimes really lost and I didn't see the revelance of the spending so much time on logistic regression to real life studies. I really enjoyed the exercises in stata - the tutors were very very helpful. Furthermore I really enjoyed Aurelio Tobias lecture and his optimism to work in stata.	6/29/2019 8:30 PM
13	Overall, Cox could have been introduced earlier. Especially considering the fact that effect modification and confounding seem to be something you can investigate in the same way using either method.	6/29/2019 7:07 PM
14	I wish you would give Aurelio (the guy of meta-analysis) more sessions, he is a really good pedagogic and a person who knows how to tone down the mental load.	6/29/2019 6:45 PM
15	High speed in teaching.	6/29/2019 5:26 PM
16	Content more advanced from week 1 & presenters spoke faster & covered a lot more making this difficult to keep up especially since not a lot of free time after to go back over material.	6/29/2019 9:50 AM
17	Maybe it would be possible to include some concepts of linear regression	6/29/2019 12:39 AM
18	It could be useful to see some more examples with diferent data bases. Specialy regarding cases where we were explaining something and the example in the main database was negative.	6/28/2019 8:50 PM
19	Good lectures in general. Could be more straight-forward examples and also lecturer needs to focus more on the whole lecture rather then spending to much time at the first part so we don't need to rush through the last part.	6/28/2019 7:52 PM
20	Great	6/28/2019 7:34 PM
21	The slides were not there before the start	6/28/2019 9:50 AM
22	I would have loved to have Simon continue into week two, it would have made so much sense, and no overlap or change in formulations	6/28/2019 8:58 AM

1 / 1

Q11 Week 2 module 3: Computer analysis of a case-control study of bladder cancer

Answered: 49   Skipped: 1

|	**VERY GOOD**	**GOOD**	**SATISFACTORY**	**REQUIRES IMPROVEMENT**	**TOTAL**	**WEIGHTED AVERAGE**
Presentation	28.57% 14	53.06% 26	16.33% 8	2.04% 1	49	1.92
Time management	20.41% 10	46.94% 23	22.45% 11	10.20% 5	49	2.22
Documentation	29.17% 14	54.17% 26	14.58% 7	2.08% 1	48	1.90
Content	29.17% 14	56.25% 27	12.50% 6	2.08% 1	48	1.88
Topic's relevance	34.69% 17	51.02% 25	12.24% 6	2.04% 1	49	1.82

Q12 Week 2 module 3: Computer analysis of a case-control study of bladder cancer - Comments

Answered: 16　　Skipped: 34

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	I am disappointed in the way how they conducted the plenary section (solution). It was not easy to follow the explanation, because they presented the final results and I would like to suggest to present the stata output and students can follow the explanation and compare the results they got with the solution.	7/3/2019 10:02 PM
2	I have no licence for Stata so it is no use for me spending time struggling with the sintax of the commands.	7/2/2019 7:59 AM
3	sometimes we needed more time to finsh all the exercises	6/30/2019 11:19 PM
4	Too loose set up for afternoon practicals. More precise descriptions of exercise would be nice	6/30/2019 10:22 PM
5	Tutor should be more active during exercise	6/30/2019 10:08 PM
6	I would suggest that students are handed the dataset on friday in week 1 with a suggestuon of reading it in the weekend between week 1 and 2, so they know what it is all about when the week begins.	6/30/2019 9:55 PM
7	This was rather overwhelming. The exercises are too large, and became slightly more discouraging every day. We never finished the exercises and even during the discussion we did not go through the whole exercises because it was just too much.	6/30/2019 6:26 PM
8	I skipped all of these so can't really comment!	6/29/2019 8:59 PM
9	The afternoon exercises for the first 2 days weren't very interesting. I would like to have more time for stata exercises. I really enjoyed the summaries at 5:15.	6/29/2019 8:30 PM
10	I found the structure of the exercise confusing. It was difficult to work out what to do, and the help from the teacher wasn't not all ways enough. The sum up section varied a lot and the outcome of the exercises was not clear.	6/29/2019 7:28 PM
11	The sessions were rushed, I would prefer we receive feedback in individual groups, not in the plenary session as this cuts down on the functional time to do the assignments. I was in Aula 3, and there was this lady who taught us DAGS, she's Itaian, I forget the name, but she was a really good facilitator, always moving around, and actively engaging participants, easy to ask etc etc	6/29/2019 6:45 PM
12	it was fine	6/29/2019 5:26 PM
13	Exercises were not fit to the available time.	6/28/2019 8:50 PM
14	Very nice work and relevance that also encouraged debate but the instructions could be sharper and it was really hard to be able to do all the exercises. Maybe pick a few and focus more on them?	6/28/2019 7:52 PM
15	Good	6/28/2019 7:34 PM
16	When you code another program is doesnt make a lot of sense to learn coding in a different program	6/28/2019 8:58 AM

Q13 Overall, the 1-2 weeks course met my expectations

Answered: 50   Skipped: 0

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Excellent	42.00%	21
Fulfilled	48.00%	24
Partly fulfilled	10.00%	5
Not fulfilled	0.00%	0
TOTAL		50

Q14 Overall, the 1-2 weeks course met my expectations - comments

Answered: 13   Skipped: 37

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Very well organized course. It was well balance with the combination of lectures, exercise and breaks. I think it is tne maximum hours of teaching durin one day from 8.30-18.30. The location is really nice, a bit out of the city with possibility to walk in the beatiful surroundings.	7/3/2019 12:15 AM
2	Very good teachers and generally a high level.	7/2/2019 1:11 PM
3	Overall, the course met my expectation. The microphone situation could have been better. Overall the professors' power point were good, but some could have been more clear, e.g. : Franco Merletti. Lastly, not all teachers presented themselves, I would have like each of them to start with a short introduction (most 'a problem' in second week)	7/1/2019 10:13 AM
4	very satisfied	6/30/2019 11:19 PM
5	Many good and inspiring teachers	6/30/2019 9:55 PM
6	The course is great. We learned a lot in a short time. The days are intense, but the variety in topics keep it interesting. Important core concepts are properly addressed. The alternation between lectures and practicals was good, and the group work really helped in understanding the subjects. I learned a lot about Stata, I never had a proper introduction, this was excellent. Some things were not ideal. The opinions on DAGs seem to differ between teachers, on when to use them, how to draw them etc. They seem arbitrary and may introduce bias in the very beginning of a study setup. They do seem to be helpful in brainstorming and discussing about a subject. It left me mainly confused, but I do realise that they are complex and this is just a first introduction from which we can gain further understanding. The focus was also quite strongly on logistic regression analyses and likelihood ratio tests, of which the coverage of the latter was a bit out of proportion in my opinion. Since time management was bad during the second week I felt further behind every day. Sometimes, parts of it had to be rushed through. The days became busier every day, the practicals more elaborate, so we either couldn't finish it or had to go fast without sufficient time to think about the code, output, and interpretation of the results.	6/30/2019 6:26 PM
7	It was great course. I really enjoyed that I know better the mathematics behind statistics and I know how to correctly read the results from stata - where to watch out!	6/29/2019 8:30 PM
8	Need to consider background of participants about statistics	6/29/2019 5:26 PM
9	Really enjoyed the course but challenging especially given no previous experience with Stata. For those of us new to Stata it would be good to have a session as an optional extra going over commands & how to set up data, labelling etc	6/29/2019 9:50 AM
10	I really love the course	6/29/2019 12:39 AM
11	More time with practicals	6/28/2019 8:01 PM
12	I would recommend this course to any colleague or friend.	6/28/2019 7:52 PM
13	It's generally excellent and it's beyond my expectations	6/28/2019 7:34 PM

Q15 Time for questions or discussions was

Answered: 50   Skipped: 0

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Good	90.00%	45
Too long	2.00%	1
Too short	8.00%	4
TOTAL		50

Q16 Time for questions or discussions was - comments

Answered: 9   Skipped: 41

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	The last afternoon in week 2 was much to long and not very rewarding.	7/2/2019 1:11 PM
2	Mostly, the lecture fitted right into the time spot. If questions arose, they were often asked during the lecture, which worked well.	6/30/2019 6:26 PM
3	I think that we don't have a lot of time to have questions - after all day and additional reading we didn't have time to think, process the knowledge that I gained through the day, so I didn't know if I have any questions.	6/29/2019 8:30 PM
4	Question and discussion worked very well throughout the course, particularly when using the microphone for the audience as well.	6/29/2019 7:07 PM
5	Language barriers for some participants and facilitators	6/29/2019 5:26 PM
6	Maybe, only to have a better discusion during the last session, it would be good to submit questions along the week (for example, by email) and select some of them to discuss	6/29/2019 12:39 AM
7	First week Simon implemented it very good and second week Manolas did as well. Some other lecturers could learn from their example!	6/28/2019 7:52 PM
8	Satisfactory	6/28/2019 7:34 PM
9	Some teachers, Zugna for example, just read her slides. Not sure anyone got it. It was a very poor presentation without including students in any way.	6/28/2019 8:58 AM

Q17 Material and documentation in general is

Answered: 49   Skipped: 1

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Very good	71.43%	35
Okay	28.57%	14
Unsatisfactory	0.00%	0
TOTAL		49

Q18 Material and documentation in general is

Answered: 14   Skipped: 36

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	The schedule is very busy and we do not have time to read the material. My recommendation is to share the material in advance and suggest what papers we should read before to arrive	7/3/2019 10:02 PM
2	It would be a great help if all the materials were on the webpage before the course.	7/2/2019 1:11 PM
3	far too little time to read any of the material, but luckily it was possible to follow the courses without	7/2/2019 1:04 PM
4	A Short Introduction to Epidemiology by Neil Pearce has been very useful.	7/2/2019 7:59 AM
5	Materials were good. check for spelling mistakes and errors for next year. Moreover, no need to give it all in printed versions, when it is available online.	7/1/2019 10:13 AM
6	very apprecied the extra folders of papers, data and articles to review topics.	6/30/2019 11:19 PM
7	Very good PowerPoint. Especially statistics week 2!!!	6/30/2019 10:22 PM
8	but should have been distributed more than 10 days before	6/30/2019 8:58 PM
9	Time was not sufficient	6/30/2019 10:05 AM
10	Very good. I think it's really important to have syntax to exercises to see what's important. All presentations were very good and can last as a encyclopedia for epidemiology and statistics for years that you are coming back to.	6/29/2019 8:30 PM
11	enough	6/29/2019 5:26 PM
12	However would be good to have this more time in advance to do pre course reading. Some of slides are added during the course so ensuring we all know that they have been updated	6/29/2019 9:50 AM
13	Good	6/28/2019 10:57 PM
14	Better if you also give us at least one good book in epidemiology and one biostatistics	6/28/2019 7:34 PM

Q19 The practical organisation of the course and venue was

Answered: 50   Skipped: 0

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Very good	70.00%	35
Okay	28.00%	14
Unsatisfactory	2.00%	1
TOTAL		50

Q20 The practical organisation of the course and venue was - comments

Answered: 10   Skipped: 40

| #	RESPONSES	DATE
1	The chairs are not comfortable	7/6/2019 9:57 PM
2	It would be nice with an confirmation email that my payment was fine. It was also a bit late with information mail about the stay (6.th of june). Though the content with information about dates ect. was really nice.	7/3/2019 12:15 AM
3	The allocation of rooms among those who payed extra and those who didn't was very odd.	7/2/2019 1:11 PM
4	too loong days in lecture. When dinner is at 19.30-20.00 and some of us do not live at centro studio the time was better spent with a longer "siesta/brake at lunch" - perhaps 2 hours or more - for working, reading or sleeping :-)... and then continue until dinner in the other end of the afternoon?	7/2/2019 1:04 PM
5	I don't think it is reasonable to have very poor quality chairs without a functioning desk for 2-3 weeks of full-day education. Should be tables with a desk/table in front of it and plugs for everyone's laptops.	6/30/2019 12:20 PM
6	Very good! I was surprised how well everything was organized. I would suggest to have more time during the day for students to catch up with material.	6/29/2019 8:30 PM
7	Not familiar with stata commends	6/29/2019 5:26 PM
8	Very convenient being able to stay on site & all meals provided. Handy to bus stop.	6/29/2019 9:50 AM
9	Was good, but it was not enough for the systematic literature review and meta-analysis	6/28/2019 10:57 PM
10	Great	6/28/2019 7:34 PM

Q21 Your main basic training was in

Answered: 49   Skipped: 1

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Medicine	59.18%	29
Nursing	2.04%	1
Statistics	6.12%	3
Public health	18.37%	9
Biology	8.16%	4
Sociology	0.00%	0
Psychology	2.04%	1
Informatics	0.00%	0
Geography	0.00%	0
Pharmacology/Pharmacy	4.08%	2
TOTAL		49

Q22 Have you previously followed courses in

Answered: 35   Skipped: 15

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Epidemiology	40.00%	14
Medical statistics/biostatistics	60.00%	21
TOTAL		35

Q23 You have learnt of this course through

Answered: 50   Skipped: 0

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Colleagues	82.00%	41
Via emailing advertising	0.00%	0
Posters/Professional journals	0.00%	0
Internet/EEPE website	18.00%	9
Leaflets at conferences	0.00%	0
TOTAL		50

Q24 Were you satisfied with the residential set-up and general organisation in Centro Studi (rooms, service of various kind, etc)

Answered: 48   Skipped: 2

| ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Very satisfactory	54.17%	26
Satisfactory	39.58%	19
Moderately satisfactory	4.17%	2
Unsatisfactory	2.08%	1
TOTAL		48

Q25 Were you satisfied with the residential set-up and general organisation in Centro Studi (rooms, service of various kind, etc) - comments

Answered: 17   Skipped: 33

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Excellent	7/3/2019 10:02 PM
2	I stayed in Villa la Stella	7/3/2019 7:45 AM
3	As described above.	7/2/2019 1:11 PM
4	very nice and clean rooms with good wifi excess, nice to be at the place where the lectures are, but I find the allocation of rooms "too random", as I think that people staying for 3 weeks or more AND that have paied extra for not sharing a room, should not be put in smaller or more remote rooms than those only staying for 2 weeks and willing to share? Also some had got the answer that family/spouts were welcome to stay as others had got the message that they were not?	7/2/2019 1:04 PM
5	I don't think it was necessary to use all that plastic bottles, glasses and plates.	7/2/2019 7:59 AM
6	The bar should be open during the week-end	7/1/2019 5:54 PM
7	Helpful reception. Cleaning of the room varied, and my toothbrush and tweezer was missing. I think that they might have been thrown out by mistake during cleaning.	7/1/2019 12:58 PM
8	The rooms are minimalistic but sufficient. However, for a two person room, two chairs and two tables/desks would be preferable. Also, a few more sockets for charging devices would be useful. The blinds and air-conditioning are great, as is the swift cleaning service.	6/30/2019 6:26 PM
9	The sits in teaching room was not comfortable. We do not have where to put our computer. It was sometimes difficult to find a pot to connect the computer cable	6/30/2019 10:05 AM
10	did not stay there overnight	6/29/2019 8:46 PM
11	Great room, service, great and very helpful people at the reception and in restaurant! And the set up of Centro Studii is beautiful!	6/29/2019 8:30 PM
12	Too much mosquito during night	6/29/2019 5:26 PM
13	Room small but has everything I need apart from laundry facilities	6/29/2019 9:50 AM
14	Yes, it is very nice	6/28/2019 10:57 PM
15	Better then expected, but since it is shared rooms it could depend alot on the roomate wich worked very well for me.	6/28/2019 7:52 PM
16	It's okay	6/28/2019 7:34 PM
17	Cleaning could have been better, especially the bathroom. Not once in two weeks the zink was actual cleaned and cups for toothbrush change - quite evident with the dirt/calcium from toothbrushes in the cup and on the zink	6/28/2019 8:58 AM

Q26 Were you satisfied with the residential set-up in Villa la Stella(rooms, breakfast, service of various kind etc.)

Answered: 27   Skipped: 23

| ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Very satisfactory	51.85%	14
Satisfactory	37.04%	10
Moderately satisfactory	3.70%	1
Unsatisfactory	7.41%	2
TOTAL		27

Q27 Were you satisfied with the residential set-up in Villa la Stella(rooms, breakfast, service of various kind etc.) - comments

Answered: 11   Skipped: 39

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	It was a very pleasant stay and kindly staff. Lovely breakfeast	7/3/2019 12:15 AM
2	Villa la Stella was superb.. better coffee and eggs for breakfast - nice rooms with WINDOWS.. The wifi connection was insufficient to work with much data though....	7/2/2019 1:04 PM
3	Breakfast could be very improved.	7/1/2019 9:08 AM
4	There were so many mosquitoes. It was not not easy to sleep. The shower room was too small, we were not able to bend!	6/30/2019 10:05 AM
5	Yep, room was very good! The. View from window was breathtaking! Food was very good. Maybe it will be a good idea to have whole grain bread and more natural yoghurt for breaksfast	6/29/2019 8:30 PM
6	Cups for tea breaks were not convenient. Need to make variations in terms of items served during tea breaks	6/29/2019 5:26 PM
7	Happy with food. Lots of options for lunch & dinner but less so for breakfast	6/29/2019 9:50 AM
8	Yes	6/28/2019 10:57 PM
9	Some noise from other rooms.	6/28/2019 8:50 PM
10	Great	6/28/2019 7:34 PM
11	Breakfast is the worst I ever had. Only sugar products, horrible coffee, had to ask for natural youghurt almost every morning. 5 mornings of two weeks there was no natural youghurt - finished as they said in the restaurent. 5 times!!! I find it problematic to only serve sugar things in the morning with no other options, and the center is located quite far from anything, and without a fridge that functioned in the room we had no other options.	6/28/2019 8:58 AM

Q28 Were you satisfied with the restaurant at Centro Studi

Answered: 50   Skipped: 0

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Very satisfactory	34.00%	17
Satisfactory	38.00%	19
Moderately satisfactory	16.00%	8
Unsatisfactory	12.00%	6
Total Respondents: 50		

Q29 Were you satisfied with the restaurant at Centro Studi - comments

Answered: 21   Skipped: 29

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	There was almost no food for vegans	7/3/2019 7:45 AM
2	Kind staff. Overall nice food, but most of the vegstables was overprepaired (overcooked).	7/3/2019 12:15 AM
3	I think there was very much to choose between but generally the vegetables were over-cooked and there was too little variety in the vegetables. The bread was awful.	7/2/2019 1:11 PM
4	nice food... To little vegetables for breakfast.. and vegetables for dinner was mostly overcooked	7/2/2019 1:04 PM
5	The food was okay, but at times a bit boring and lacked seasoning.	7/1/2019 12:58 PM
6	The food was not really satisfying. Most often overdone. Should be more vegetarian and vegan options.	7/1/2019 10:13 AM
7	Women in the restaurant were just great and super helpful! However, they could let people choose two second plates (if they had no first plate) and try to include more options besides pasta	7/1/2019 9:08 AM
8	I would have preferred more fresh options for lunch and dinner, with more salads and fresh vegetables. The vegetables was overcooked. The pasta was good. It would have been nice if there were some more coca cola zero.	6/30/2019 9:55 PM
9	The lunches and dinners were fine, sufficient variety and good vegetarian options. The bread for the breakfast was often too dry, particularly by the end of the week.	6/30/2019 6:26 PM
10	Scarcity of fresh and decent quality vegetables and fruits. Lots of the food was overcooked and tasted like no-one has regarded the taste whatsoever. Breakfast - how can anyone learn after a selection of only highly processed carbs?	6/30/2019 12:20 PM
11	The breakfast was not satisfactory. There were only bread and cereals. Some people do not like them.	6/30/2019 10:05 AM
12	too long waiting time and food not hot enough	6/29/2019 8:46 PM
13	Great food :) I only missed pizza :P	6/29/2019 8:30 PM
14	Nice food. However, oil was a too much in vegetables and potatoes	6/29/2019 5:26 PM
15	Very satisfactory	6/29/2019 3:44 PM
16	Yes as above	6/29/2019 9:50 AM
17	It would be better more variation in the food	6/29/2019 12:39 AM
18	Yes, it is nice one	6/28/2019 10:57 PM
19	very nice personnel :) thumbs up! creativity in variation in meals during the weeks - good overcooked vegetables - very bad (sorry) long line to get food/ time consuming and specially not practical for the lunch time	6/28/2019 8:19 PM
20	Satisfied	6/28/2019 7:34 PM
21	not at all. Breakfast already commented on. In general very borrowing overcooked food. Vegetables spoiled every day, salad bar would be leafs and tomato - a few days maiz from a can. It is such a pitty in a country like Italy.	6/28/2019 8:58 AM

Q30 Topics you would like covered in weeks 1 and 2

Answered: 22   Skipped: 28

| #	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Case-crossover, Randomise Clinical Trial, emerging study disegn, sample calculation, etc	7/3/2019 10:02 PM
2	social epidemiology	7/3/2019 10:17 AM
3	Something more about competitive risk approch	7/2/2019 7:59 AM
4	I think that the themes in week one could be covered quicker and instead the time should be used to improve the presentation of cox regression.	7/1/2019 12:58 PM
5	I think it would have been nice to have had time to work a bit on my own data and be able to ask the excellent teachers for support.	7/1/2019 10:13 AM
6	More survival analysis	6/30/2019 10:22 PM
7	Linear regression methods	6/30/2019 10:08 PM
8	For me personally, non-parametric statistical methods would have been useful.	6/30/2019 6:26 PM
9	More focus on survival analysis, competing risk.	6/30/2019 12:20 PM
10	Week one: introduction to logistic regression Week: Survival analysis	6/30/2019 10:05 AM
11	- more exercises in stata - instead of basic exercises in first week - multiple imputation - principal component analysis	6/29/2019 8:30 PM
12	Linear regression and the t test could be a good topics, maybe only one lecture and a exercise.	6/29/2019 7:28 PM
13	Cox earlier. Competing risks (intro). Comparison of two predictors (models) head-to-head.	6/29/2019 7:07 PM
14	DAGs Cohort studies	6/29/2019 5:26 PM
15	Epidemiological Principles and Premises Basic statistical assumptions and criteria to choose statitical methods	6/29/2019 2:29 PM
16	Cheat sheet for those of us new to stats as to help remind us what tests to do when & intro to Stata, setting up data entry etc	6/29/2019 9:50 AM
17	RCT	6/29/2019 12:39 AM
18	Infectious disease and medical statistics	6/28/2019 10:57 PM
19	Propensity score, management of datasets	6/28/2019 9:36 PM
20	Linear regression.	6/28/2019 8:50 PM
21	little bit more about RCT	6/28/2019 8:19 PM
22	A follow up /overview of study designs. A common agreement among the teachers of terminology used for statistics. Ex. Simon spend a lot of time raising awareness around relative risk - as in you shouldnt use this. Second week, basicly all the teachers used this.	6/28/2019 8:58 AM

Q31 Other comments

Answered: 19   Skipped: 31

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	I would recommend no only focus the lectures on cancer. There are the new emerging topics that you can be used in the lectures.	7/3/2019 10:02 PM
2	the days were quite long	7/3/2019 10:17 AM
3	Thank you for a very nice course. A small request. The price for a cap from the airport to Centro studie is around 40 euro. It would be nice if you changed that.	7/3/2019 12:15 AM
4	Overall it was a very good course.	7/2/2019 1:11 PM
5	very good course, competent teachers, very nice participants and a nice place to stay. Would suggest and prefer shorter stays.. 1-2 weeks at a time. But that was my choice..	7/2/2019 1:04 PM
6	In question 22 it is not possible to choose both type of courses, if one had previously attended both types of courses.	7/1/2019 12:58 PM
7	This questionnaire is rather confusing. It is hard to differ the different sections, and to answer it I needed to have the schedule next to me - it takes more time. I suggest, you add a couple of lines to specify exactly what you want us to evaluate, e.g. EM1: Franco Merletti, Measures of occurrence of disease. Moreover, the timeframe for answering is too short. I got home at midnight Friday, and you want the answers by Sunday. I did no have time before, and I hope you'll still see my answers, but I am not sure.	7/1/2019 10:13 AM
8	maybe more time between the morning and the afternoon classes to review the topics	6/30/2019 11:19 PM
9	Thank you very much for the course so far!	6/30/2019 6:26 PM
10	Great environment! Great people! Great experience! It was very inspiring week for me! Additionally great place to meet new people, new ideas, new cultures! I hope there will be another course like this (for example part 2) for the alumni of this one.	6/29/2019 8:30 PM
11	Overall a good programme with adequate time for breaks and lectures. Sangria night (worked very well in terms of mingling) could be repeated in week two.	6/29/2019 7:07 PM
12	-The schedule was too tight and social and entertainment part was weak - Statistical part was advanced in terms of the use of Stata	6/29/2019 5:26 PM
13	There should be more time between morning and afternoon lessons, in order to get a deeper about lessons.	6/29/2019 3:44 PM
14	It is a very good course!! Thank you for this opportunity	6/29/2019 12:39 AM
15	Very competent faculty members! Lecture content on a very high level, yet communicated in a good way. Would like to have more time for statistical exercises though.	6/29/2019 12:17 AM
16	The time given and the scope of the lecture for Systematic review and meta- analysis was short and narrow. Could you please think of these issues for the next time.	6/28/2019 10:57 PM
17	Maybe we could be grouped at some point by topics of research. Could be useful for networking and to discuss how the explained concepts apply to our field.	6/28/2019 8:50 PM
18	suggestions for improval: - a little handbook of equations for different kind of study designs - in first week - dedicated time for practicing and just to get into STATA for beginners - for prof. Pearce: no complains about the lecture he told us previous participants were complaining about, but suggestion for improval: in stead of 1 study design per power point slide and presenting back and forth between the two, rather divide one slide in two columns, one for each design, and compare the issues there. It will really help very much to keep tracking on what is going on (similarities and differences). I was looking very much forward to this course and I'm very satisfied. Now I'm looking forward for the 3rd week and after that to try to learn more and implement and improve what I have learned. You did the great job, thank you!!!	6/28/2019 8:19 PM
19	It's generally interesting and keep it on	6/28/2019 7:34 PM

Q1 Week 3: parallel morning module: Advanced statistical topics (Per Kragh Andersen, Corrado Lagazio, Michela Baccini)

Answered: 21   Skipped: 15

|	VERY GOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	REQUIRES IMPROVEMENT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Presentation	47.62% 10	47.62% 10	4.76% 1	0.00% 0	21	1.57
Time management	47.62% 10	42.86% 9	9.52% 2	0.00% 0	21	1.62
Documentation	28.57% 6	57.14% 12	14.29% 3	0.00% 0	21	1.86
Content	38.10% 8	52.38% 11	9.52% 2	0.00% 0	21	1.71
Topic's relevance	57.14% 12	38.10% 8	4.76% 1	0.00% 0	21	1.48

Q2 Week 3: parallel morning module: Advanced statistical topics (Per Kragh Andersen, Corrado Lagazio, Michela Baccini) - Comments

Answered: 5   Skipped: 31

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Unfortunately not enough time to work through Practicals	7/11/2019 1:21 PM
2	It would have been beneficial to allow more time to go through the exercises, and to discuss the interpretation of exercise results instead of just quickly rushing through.	7/8/2019 9:16 AM
3	Corrado and Michela were great!	7/8/2019 3:00 AM
4	Very nice way of presenting competing risks - I feel I got an intuitive understand and not just a mathematical understanding	7/5/2019 6:16 PM
5	maybe a little more targeting the presentation to the group as we are not (all of us:-)) statistician	7/5/2019 9:40 AM

Q3 Week 3: parallel morning module: Causal methods in epidemiology (Deborah Lawlor, Carolina Borges)

Answered: 5   Skipped: 31

|	VERY GOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	REQUIRES IMPROVEMENT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Presentation	60.00% 3	20.00% 1	20.00% 1	0.00% 0	5	1.60
Time management	40.00% 2	40.00% 2	0.00% 0	20.00% 1	5	2.00
Documentation	60.00% 3	20.00% 1	20.00% 1	0.00% 0	5	1.60
Content	60.00% 3	40.00% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	5	1.40
Topic's relevance	40.00% 2	20.00% 1	40.00% 2	0.00% 0	5	2.00

Q4 Week 3: parallel morning module: Causal methods in epidemiology (Deborah Lawlor, Carolina Borges) - Comments

Answered: 5   Skipped: 31

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	more focus on MR topic than others	7/10/2019 2:09 PM
2	This course is too short, I recommend offering the course at least for two weeks. Provide material for reading and during the plenary run the analysis and explain the output.	7/10/2019 12:48 AM
3	Excellent teachers, very good module. A lot of content is covered in a short time, which can be a bit overwhelming. This course could easily fill up a great 1-week full-time course. More in-depth in-class discussion of the practicals would be useful, and a practical on triangulation would be a welcome addition. Great course, it left me desiring more.	7/6/2019 1:57 PM
4	NA	7/5/2019 6:16 PM
5	I was not aware how much mendelian randomization would take up of the curriculum.... would have prefered more about testing for causality and residual confounding in other ways.. But very good and kompetent teachers ;-)	7/5/2019 10:07 AM

Q5 Week 3: parallel morning module: Environmental epidemiology (Josep M. Anto, Jordi Sunyer)

Answered: 5   Skipped: 31

|	VERY GOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	REQUIRES IMPROVEMENT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Presentation	40.00% 2	60.00% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	5	1.60
Time management	40.00% 2	40.00% 2	20.00% 1	0.00% 0	5	1.80
Documentation	40.00% 2	60.00% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	5	1.60
Content	40.00% 2	40.00% 2	20.00% 1	0.00% 0	5	1.80
Topic's relevance	60.00% 3	40.00% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	5	1.40

Q6 Week 3: parallel morning module: Environmental epidemiology (Josep M. Anto, Jordi Sunyer) - Comments

Answered: 2   Skipped: 34

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	NA	7/5/2019 6:16 PM
2	Increase time for group discussion and sharing experiences from different setting(low and high incomes)	7/5/2019 10:38 AM

Q7 Week 3: parallel morning module: From epidemiology to the burden of disease (Nino Künzli, Thomas Fürst)

Answered: 7   Skipped: 29

|	**VERY GOOD**	**GOOD**	**SATISFACTORY**	**REQUIRES IMPROVEMENT**	**TOTAL**	**WEIGHTED AVERAGE**
Presentation	57.14% 4	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	28.57% 2	7	2.00
Time management	50.00% 3	16.67% 1	16.67% 1	16.67% 1	6	2.00
Documentation	66.67% 4	16.67% 1	0.00% 0	16.67% 1	6	1.67
Content	50.00% 3	16.67% 1	16.67% 1	16.67% 1	6	2.00
Topic's relevance	50.00% 3	33.33% 2	0.00% 0	16.67% 1	6	1.83

Q8 Week 3: parallel morning module: From epidemiology to the burden of disease (Nino Künzli, Thomas Fürst) - Comments

Answered: 5   Skipped: 31

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	the pacing of the course was uneven. while the discussions were good, I felt we spent a lot of time talking and could have covered more content.	7/8/2019 9:20 AM
2	I really enjoyed this module with Nino and Thomas. I thought they worked well together as a team to deliver the programme. It would have been useful to have a bit more content from Nino as well though - not at the expense of Thomas as I thought he was great but maybe more interaction/joint delivery? I particularly enjoyed the applied aspects of the content and how it relates to what we do in the 'real world' as epidemiologists. I think the overall/main 2-week course could benefit greatly from including content such as this to benefit all students and make it more relevant/real.	7/7/2019 3:18 PM
3	NA	7/5/2019 6:16 PM
4	Nino was good. The part with Thomas was useless unfortunately, which is sad because he was so Nice and entusiastic but the teaching was chaotic, unstructered and seemed completely without learning objevtives.	7/5/2019 1:43 PM
5	GOOD	7/5/2019 9:54 AM

Q9 Week 3: parallel afternoon module: Clinical epidemiology (Patrick M. Bossuyt, Miranda Langendam)

Answered: 10　　Skipped: 26

|	VERY GOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	REQUIRES IMPROVEMENT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Presentation	60.00% 6	30.00% 3	0.00% 0	10.00% 1	10	1.60
Time management	60.00% 6	20.00% 2	10.00% 1	10.00% 1	10	1.70
Documentation	50.00% 5	30.00% 3	20.00% 2	0.00% 0	10	1.70
Content	50.00% 5	40.00% 4	10.00% 1	0.00% 0	10	1.60
Topic's relevance	70.00% 7	20.00% 2	10.00% 1	0.00% 0	10	1.40

Q10 Week 3: parallel afternoon module: Clinical epidemiology (Patrick M. Bossuyt, Miranda Langendam) - Comments

Answered: 7   Skipped: 29

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	More interaction based lecture would be beneficial. Practicals should be discussed on the same day and not postponed til the day after.	7/11/2019 1:21 PM
2	The topic was very interesting, and felt more material could have been covered. The exercises were useful, but reviewing them in class took a long time and did not generate much discussion.	7/8/2019 9:20 AM
3	NA	7/5/2019 6:16 PM
4	too much lecturing without interaction, hand outs not the ones used for lecturing, needed a learning objective for the day - seemed more like just subjects presented without too much reflection on what the "audience" needed or worked with. 2 hours of presentation rith after lunch break is too much - where I teach we aim at maximum 45 min before either reflection pauses or "stand up" breaks for better learning curves.	7/5/2019 10:07 AM
5	excellent	7/5/2019 9:54 AM
6	A little more students participation during the (long) presentations would be preferable	7/5/2019 9:40 AM
7	I think more presentations on screening would interesting	7/5/2019 9:37 AM

Q11 Week 3: parallel afternoon module: Advanced topics in epidemiology (Irene Petersen, Jan Vandenbroucke)

Answered: 16   Skipped: 20

|	VERY GOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	REQUIRES IMPROVEMENT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Presentation	6.25% 1	37.50% 6	31.25% 5	25.00% 4	16	2.75
Time management	20.00% 3	40.00% 6	33.33% 5	6.67% 1	15	2.27
Documentation	12.50% 2	43.75% 7	31.25% 5	12.50% 2	16	2.44
Content	12.50% 2	31.25% 5	37.50% 6	18.75% 3	16	2.63
Topic's relevance	25.00% 4	43.75% 7	18.75% 3	12.50% 2	16	2.19

Q12 Week 3: parallel afternoon module: Advanced topics in epidemiology (Irene Petersen, Jan Vandenbroucke) - Comments

Answered: 5 Skipped: 31

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	More practical exercises on missing data	7/10/2019 2:09 PM
2	A lot of time was spend on presenting basic study designs and principles for epidemiology, which maybe was good for the people arriving for week three only, but after two weeks it feels like a waste of time to go through one more time. Irene was very sweet and competent, but I found her teaching style repetitive and I think we could have used the time available better.	7/8/2019 10:04 AM
3	The structure of the lectures and topics could improve, e.g. cut down on basic epidemiology such as missing data and study design and spend more time on explaining more advanced topics such as multiple imputation, instrumental variables, matching, mendelian randomization. More hands-on experiences (exercises) with these latter topics would have been better.	7/8/2019 9:16 AM
4	The main teacher was a bit soft-spoken, which was hard especially after lunch, but she dealt with it well by creating active group participation. The third day contained a lot of repetition from the previous weeks.	7/6/2019 1:57 PM
5	Prof. Vandenbroucke could have extended his lecture of IVs a bit. Very interesting subject, and a " tips /pit falls " section could have made it more applicable. On the other hand, people were tired (post statistics fatigue syndrome if anyone hasn't coined that term yet) and we could have been more engaged. A shame this topic was in week 3 and not week 2.	7/5/2019 6:16 PM

Q13 Week 3: parallel afternoon module: Infectious disease epidemiology (Tyra Grove Krause, Steen Ethelberg)

Answered: 7   Skipped: 29

	VERY GOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	REQUIRES IMPROVEMENT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Presentation	85.71% 6	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	7	1.14
Time management	57.14% 4	28.57% 2	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	7	1.71
Documentation	28.57% 2	57.14% 4	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	7	1.86
Content	28.57% 2	71.43% 5	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	7	1.71
Topic's relevance	57.14% 4	28.57% 2	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	7	1.57

# Q14 Week 3: parallel afternoon module: Infectious disease epidemiology (Tyra Grove Krause, Steen Ethelberg) - Comments

Answered: 5   Skipped: 31

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	I recommend included other type of infectious disease and how to design a study in this area.	7/10/2019 12:48 AM
2	Include data analysis exercises with past study data that can be used by students to get real hands on experience	7/8/2019 3:00 AM
3	NA	7/5/2019 6:16 PM
4	Would be nice if examples were more global. More theory and less examples	7/5/2019 1:43 PM
5	I enjoyed the module which was well organized	7/5/2019 10:38 AM

Q15 Would you organize the week 3 modules in a different way?

Answered: 25   Skipped: 11

| ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	32.00%	8
No	68.00%	17
TOTAL		25

Q16 Would you organize the week 3 modules in a different way? - Comments

Answered: 11   Skipped: 25

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Would appreciate one afternoon/morning with time to repeat the statistical methodology of week 1+2 in order to reflect on and solidify newly acquired knowledge.	7/11/2019 1:21 PM
2	With regards to the three week course as a whole, I would consider comprising the basics in week one to three repetition days, as this is an intermediate course. And then I would use more time on the topics of week three in general.	7/8/2019 10:04 AM
3	I would perhaps prefer to have a "normal" day on Friday instead of external presenters, especially for those coming to participate only in the third week. Guest lecture could perhaps be Friday afternoon/evening then.	7/8/2019 9:16 AM
4	I think by week 3 everyone is pretty exhausted so perhaps shorter days could be explored. I think this should be considered for weeks 1-2 as well as the days are very long, particularly for those who have traveled and in the heat. Having class from 8.30am-6.30pm is just too long.	7/7/2019 3:18 PM
5	Originally I enrolled for Principles of prevention in the precision medicine and Big data era. Unfortunately Rodolfo Saracci couldn't make it. I'd rather have had that than Advanced Epidemiology.	7/6/2019 1:57 PM
6	Two breaks indstead of one	7/5/2019 1:43 PM
7	The organization was quite good however, the tight schedule,climate and venue led to less concentration	7/5/2019 10:38 AM
8	morning and afternoon sessions are OK, but there was very sparse information on the courses at the time I had to choose. Actually only Headlines - therefore I might be quite unsatisfied with week three due to uninformed choices.	7/5/2019 10:07 AM
9	I would give material in advance to help the choice of module.	7/5/2019 9:41 AM
10	Will widen the content of the course	7/5/2019 9:39 AM
11	Scope and content should be revised I advise to have one with advanced and detailed contents	7/5/2019 12:36 AM

Q17 Overall, the 3-week course met my expectations

Answered: 33   Skipped: 3

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Excellent	18.18%	6
Fulfilled	66.67%	22
Partly fulfilled	12.12%	4
Not fulfilled	3.03%	1
TOTAL		33

Q18 Overall, the 3-week course met my expectations - Comments

Answered: 9   Skipped: 27

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	three weeks lecture based learning is a long time - would prefer more practicals/working in smaller groups. Material should be available much longer ahead of the course. English language skills of faculty crucial	7/11/2019 1:21 PM
2	For example, I expected more about infectious disease in epidemiology course. They course was focus in Ebola and Salmonella.	7/10/2019 12:48 AM
3	If there is a course named "advanced" I would expect deep methods/knoledgments discussed. It was very poor. In the program there was one day in which the topic was not defined, which shows lack of organization and planning.	7/8/2019 2:27 PM
4	In general yes. I think some of the content in the first two weeks is a bit repetitive and dry. More consideration should be given to how the first two weeks can build more on each other and to ensure less contradictory information/concepts during the first two weeks - this would make for a much more co-ordinated and useful course as a whole.	7/7/2019 3:18 PM
5	Great course, highly inspirational, and a strong foundation for the further development of my epidemiological skills.	7/6/2019 1:57 PM
6	The course I was originally interested in was cancelled. I understand it was due to health reasons, but I would recommend having a second professor that could teach the course in case the main professor can not be present.	7/5/2019 2:59 PM
7	No complains	7/5/2019 10:38 AM
8	I think the programme should be available in details much earlier - it was not very clear what the course actually would contain until we had the programme on Centro Studio	7/5/2019 10:07 AM
9	good at all	7/5/2019 9:54 AM

Q19 Time for questions or discussions was

Answered: 33   Skipped: 3

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Good	78.79%	26
Too long	9.09%	3
Too short	12.12%	4
TOTAL		33

Q20 Time for questions or discussions was - Comments

Answered: 3   Skipped: 33

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	In general this was managed well but during the first two weeks some speakers lost track of time and sessions ran well over time which inhibited questions.	7/7/2019 3:18 PM
2	Long presentations Most evidences reflected developed countries	7/5/2019 10:38 AM
3	sufficent	7/5/2019 9:54 AM

Q21 Material and documentation in general is

Answered: 32   Skipped: 4

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Very good	50.00%	16
Okay	43.75%	14
Unsatisfactory	6.25%	2
TOTAL		32

Q22 Material and documentation in general is - Comments

Answered: 6   Skipped: 30

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	No course material was available before the course in advanced epidemiology, which did not give me the opportunity to assess whether I would find the session relevant beforehand. Also, it was not clear to me whether course material should be read or any other preparation required before the course.	7/8/2019 9:16 AM
2	I think the material could be updated a bit. Some slides and references are quite dated now and should be refreshed. I also think having all the information available well ahead of time would have been better rather than be added to once the course is underway which made it tricky to keep track of what we'd all downloaded etc.	7/7/2019 3:18 PM
3	It's okey that the lessons are in Stata, but it would be nice if all dataset could been read in SAS and R.	7/5/2019 11:10 AM
4	They were okay	7/5/2019 10:38 AM
5	good	7/5/2019 9:54 AM
6	should be available more than 10 days in advance	7/5/2019 9:40 AM

Q23 The practical organisation of the course and venue was

Answered: 32   Skipped: 4

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Very good	65.63%	21
Okay	28.13%	9
Unsatisfactory	6.25%	2
TOTAL		32

Q24 The practical organisation of the course and venue was - Comments

Answered: 8   Skipped: 28

| #	RESPONSES	DATE
1	There was not good communication for week 3 overall. Because most students arrived for week 1, they already knew the system. But the information was not communicated for the new arrivals in Week 3.	7/8/2019 9:20 AM
2	Beautiful location!	7/8/2019 9:16 AM
3	The weather was very hot and the air conditioning was not working in the practical room which made it very uncomfortable and difficult environment for learning	7/8/2019 3:00 AM
4	The seats and wobbly tables attached were less than ideal, especially for the long days. They were very uncomfortable and impractical. Having more water available wold have been beneficial and turning the air conditioning down cooler in the main room would have been useful.	7/7/2019 3:18 PM
5	Seats in Aula Magna desperately require an upgrade. It was impossible to place a notebook or laptop on the crooked tables of the seats.	7/6/2019 1:57 PM
6	Good	7/5/2019 10:38 AM
7	Very, very friendly and professional staff at the Centro Studie - in the reception, cafe, and cantina. Too long que at dinner and lunch though the organisation of the lecturing went smooth	7/5/2019 10:07 AM
8	fair	7/5/2019 9:54 AM

Q25 Your main basic training was in

Answered: 32   Skipped: 4

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Medicine	40.63%	13
Nursing	3.13%	1
Statistics	9.38%	3
Public Health	37.50%	12
Biology	3.13%	1
Sociology	0.00%	0
Psychology	3.13%	1
Informatics	0.00%	0
Geography	0.00%	0
Pharmacology / Pharmacy	3.13%	1
TOTAL		32

Q26 Have you previously followed courses in

Answered: 27   Skipped: 9

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Epidemiology	48.15%	13
Medical statistics/biostatistics	51.85%	14
TOTAL		27

Q27 You have learnt of this course through

Answered: 33   Skipped: 3

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Colleagues	81.82%	27
Via emailing advertising	3.03%	1
Posters / Professional journals	0.00%	0
Internet / EEPE Website	27.27%	9
Leaflets at conferences	0.00%	0
Total Respondents: 33		

Q28 Were you satisfied with the residential set-up and general organization in Centro Studi (rooms, service of various kind etc.)

Answered: 28   Skipped: 8

| ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Very satisfactory	50.00%	14
Satisfactory	39.29%	11
Moderately satisfactory	10.71%	3
Unsatisfactory	0.00%	0
TOTAL		28

Q29 Were you satisfied with the residential set-up and general organization in Centro Studi (rooms, service of various kind etc.) - Comments

Answered: 8   Skipped: 28

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Would be nice to have access to a water boiler in order to make a cup of coffee outside of Bar opening hours	7/11/2019 1:21 PM
2	The cleaning was not very consistent.	7/8/2019 10:04 AM
3	The room and bathroom floors were not cleaned at all during the 3 week stay. The floors should be cleaned and moped daily	7/8/2019 3:00 AM
4	I think there needs to be a laundry service made available at the venue, especially for those staying in excess of 2 weeks.	7/7/2019 3:18 PM
5	Please, reduce the plastic bottles, glasses and plates.	7/5/2019 12:45 PM
6	Small bath room Many mosquito	7/5/2019 10:38 AM
7	Very, very friendly and professional staff at the Centro Studie - in the reception, cafe, and cantina. Too long que at dinner and lunch though - and more vegetables (tomatos) and better coffee at breakfast would be nice	7/5/2019 10:07 AM
8	good	7/5/2019 9:54 AM

Q30 Were you satisfied with the residential set-up in Villa la Stella (rooms, breakfast, service of various kind etc.)

Answered: 20   Skipped: 16

| ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Very satisfactory	45.00%	9
Satisfactory	55.00%	11
Moderately satisfactory	0.00%	0
Unsatisfactory	0.00%	0
TOTAL		20

Q31 Were you satisfied with the residential set-up in Villa La Stella (rooms, breakfast, service of various kind etc.) - Comments

Answered: 7   Skipped: 29

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Very friendly staff	7/11/2019 1:21 PM
2	All the staff was very kind and attentive.	7/5/2019 2:59 PM
3	Evening tea was lacking	7/5/2019 10:38 AM
4	very kind and professional service 24/7 and delicious breakfast and coffee;-)	7/5/2019 10:07 AM
5	good	7/5/2019 9:54 AM
6	Need a real improvement in breakfast	7/5/2019 9:41 AM
7	Yes	7/4/2019 10:37 PM

Q32 Were you satisfied with the restaurant at Centro Studi

Answered: 33   Skipped: 3

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Very satisfactory	15.15%	5
Satisfactory	66.67%	22
Moderately satisfactory	12.12%	4
Unsatisfactory	6.06%	2
TOTAL		33

Q33 Were you satisfied with the restaurant at Centro Studi - Comments

Answered: 13   Skipped: 23

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Great variety in foods.	7/11/2019 1:21 PM
2	The food was average at best.	7/8/2019 10:04 AM
3	More variety (especially vegetarian options) would have been great.	7/8/2019 9:16 AM
4	Restaurant orientation would be appreciated. Access to cold bottled water at all times should be available through out the stay. Several miscommunications due to no verbal or listening proficiency in english language by employees	7/8/2019 3:00 AM
5	The breakfast bread was impossible to chew and the vegetables were shamefully overcooked in every meal. Otherwise, a large selection in foods, something for everyone, with each day a variety in dishes, which was great. The staff was very nice.	7/6/2019 1:57 PM
6	I would have liked having a better variety of fruits during breakfast, but apart breakfast the other meals were very good.	7/5/2019 2:59 PM
7	It's would be better if we could take our food by ourselves. I need better quality of the food and the vegetables shouldn't be that over cooked. Maybe more salads and cheese.	7/5/2019 11:10 AM
8	Fine	7/5/2019 10:38 AM
9	very nice staff - interesting dishes - also for vegetarians - but more capreses - do every body can have one would be nice. Vegetables like broccoli and green beans should perhaps be cooked slightly less....	7/5/2019 10:07 AM
10	good	7/5/2019 9:54 AM
11	nice and friendly staff, good food	7/5/2019 9:40 AM
12	Breakfast the same for the 3 weeks	7/5/2019 9:39 AM
13	No. Meals are overboiled	7/5/2019 9:37 AM

Q34 Modules you would like to have in week 3

Answered: 7   Skipped: 29

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Climate change, emerging diseases in epidemiology, occupational epidemiology	7/10/2019 12:48 AM
2	Quantitative bias analysis	7/8/2019 9:16 AM
3	population-based epidemiological theory, methodology, and implementation of new statistical methods	7/8/2019 3:00 AM
4	My general concern for all 3 weeks is that the course is targeted too much towards medical students, e.g. in the examples which are used in the teaching. We are many with a non-medical background. I was here for the first 2 weeks last year and came for the third week this year. Just so you know where this comment is coming from.	7/5/2019 11:39 AM
5	Infectious diseases	7/5/2019 10:38 AM
6	Nutritional Epidemiology Epidemiological application in Public Health science Systematic review and meta-analysis	7/5/2019 12:36 AM
7	Baysian statistics	7/4/2019 11:19 PM

Q35 Other comments

Answered: 7   Skipped: 29

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Misconducts in science and publication should be more discussed to increase awareness of the problem.	7/8/2019 2:27 PM
2	Lectures should be approachable and make themselves available and be open to listen and assist students upon request. Lecturers should rotate tables during lunch and dinner so that they can interact with all students	7/8/2019 3:00 AM
3	I would have liked to read more details about the courses before the registration	7/5/2019 12:45 PM
4	Thank you for your efforts :) Overall the course is very well put together.	7/5/2019 11:39 AM
5	Well done in general	7/5/2019 10:38 AM
6	I would love the course to be put in a different month than late June/early July - i.e. in April og May. For many reasons: - if you are teaching at university/university colleges in Denmark exactly late June/July is a very busy time and it has not been possible for several years for me to attend the EEPE for that reason. - July is the period of main summerholidays in Denmark meaning that this course AND the holiday are sequencial and that is a long time to be off from work site. - many families are busy with children attending and graduating in late june - meaning it is a tough time to leave the familiy and school system. - lastly: it is pretty warm and touristic in Florence in June/July... i think May would give a calmer and more quiet study period in many senses. For families, teachers and young researchers.	7/5/2019 10:07 AM
7	keep it on	7/5/2019 9:54 AM